From:  corridoreiswebmaster@ani.gov
Sent:  Thursday, January 24, 2008 4:26 PM
To:  rail_coridoreisarchives
Subject:  Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWED50150

Thank you for your comment, Dennis & Judy Schweizer.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50150. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 24, 2008 04:25:32PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50150

First Name: Dennis & Judy
Last Name: Schweizer
Address: 53054 Chia Trail
City: Pioneertown
State: CA
Zip: 92268
Country: USA
Email: desertdevl1@ymail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

We are opposed to section 1221 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the National Interest Electric Corridors because they encourage corporations to use Federal Eminent Domain power to acquire land and displace people from their homes.

We are appalled by the behavior of the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, who for the past two years has made false claims and lied when confronted with factual evidence. It is this type of deceitful and dishonest behavior that erodes trust and gives government a bad name.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@ani.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6162.
Thank you for your comment, Tora Moody.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50151. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 24, 2008 07:29:36PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50151

First Name: Tora
Last Name: Moody
Address: 418 W. Franklin
City: Williams
State: AZ
Zip: 86046
Country: USA
Email: homegood@msn.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
WE NEED TO SEE A MAP OF EXISTING CORRIDORS SO AS TO COMPARE WITH THIS NEW PROPOSAL.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Tora Moody.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50152. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 24, 2008 07:51:56PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50152

First Name: Tora
Last Name: Moody
Organization: One American People
Address: 418 W. Franklin
City: Williams
State: AZ
Zip: 86046
Country: USA
Email: homemood@msn.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
BECAUSE THIS ADMINISTRATION HAS CONSISTENTLY IGNORED THE WILL OF "THE PEOPLE", AND BECAUSE THIS STUDY/PAPER SURELY IGNORES THE FUTURE LIKELIHOOD OF CONSERVATION EFFORTS OF "THE PEOPLE", AND BECAUSE THIS COMMITTEE SURELY IGNORES THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF SOLAR, WIND, AND PASSIVE SOLAR, AND BECAUSE THERE HAS BEEN LITERALLY NO ADVERTISING OF THESE "PUBLIC" MEETINGS, THESE DEVELOPMENTS ARE SURELY NOT NEEDED NOW OR EVER. WORSE OF ALL, IS THE COMPLETE DISREGARD OF OUR WILDLIFE, SO NECESSARY TO OUR LIFE ON THIS EARTH. WHY, I KNOW, LET'S BUILD THIS CORRIDOR THROUGH YOUR HOUSE AND YOUR YARD.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Gretchen Grunt.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50153. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 24, 2008 08:20:47PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50153

First Name: Gretchen
Middle Initial: g
Last Name: Grunt
Organization:
Address:
City:
State: CA
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
I oppose to section 368 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the West Side energy Corridors because they open the flood gates and encourage corporations to desecrate Federal Lands.

The United States of America is the leader in consuming earth's resources, but the loser in sustaining and renewing our usage. Research the leadership of Germany, and now even China for their leadership in creating sustainable and renewable energies so that our children and grandchildren have a healthy earth to live on.

Think globally ACT LOCALLY!
Love, light and well being!

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Walter Rule.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECDS0154. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 24, 2008 09:11:51PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECDS0154

First Name: Walter
Middle Initial: W
Last Name: Rule
Organization: WOC/ROCC/OCA/ARM
Address: Box 67
Address 2: 615 4th St.
City: Ouray
State: CO
Zip: 81427
Country: USA
Email: rulewalt@hotmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don’t withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Too reminiscent of the short term profits (industry/corporation) philosophy. New bigger lines are indicative of a failing and outdated technology that can be obsolete in a decade or two. A huge investment that would be better used by more efficiently using and conserving the power we have- investing in localized renewable sources- wind, geothermal, hydro, solar, etc. All of the proposed routes I am familiar with (especially in Western Colorado) fragment ecosystems, wildlife corridors, highly valued human space and views. We need more imaginative approaches that are non-consumptive of other resource values. I realize this does not address corridor location but there is a need to rethink the whole approach. WR

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridor@eiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Andrew Tveter.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50155. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 24, 2008  11:01:48PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50155

First Name: Andrew
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Tveter
Address: 403 Eddy Ave Apt #1
City: Missoula
State: MT
Zip: 59801
Country: USA
Email: andrew.tveter@umontana.edu
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
I disagree with the West-wide Energy Corridor Program. It will fragment many habitats of large mammals including Bison, Pronghorn, and Elk along with the endangered Grizzly Bear. The corridor also intersects some National Parks, which are for the enjoyment of the citizens. They are not for the transportation of energy supplies. Thanks for your work.
Andy

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, gloria mills.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50156. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 12:03:09AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50156

First Name: gloria
Middle Initial: a
Last Name: mills
Address:
City: 
State: NM
Zip: 
Country: USA
Email: 
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Gentlemen,
January 19, 2008

I cannot express strongly enough my opposition to the proposed West-wide energy corridor. It not only fails to fulfill essential requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, it also fails to fully disclose and to notify the affected parties. PLEASE visit the website: http://corridoreis.anl.gov/ for more information and a map of the proposed corridor.

It appears that the lines would be visible across all the now "open" and beautiful vistas we now enjoy in Placitas.

I also cannot emphasize enough the financial impact to the value of our homes. As a forty (40) plus year resident of NM, it has long been my desire to live in Placitas. We finally moved here last year, and it seems a constant barrage of threats to the privacy and beauty we enjoy. Our adjacent BLM lands have a herd of wild horses on them as well as foot trails and open space and have been threatened by many alternate "plans" for their use.

It is also my great concern that the way government packages are "run through" without considering the investment made by residents, they just look at the area as a "grid on the map". It does not sit well with most that we could be facing the forfeiture of our home at worst, or living with the unsightly infrastructure of wires and pipes taking the place of the gorgeous view and wildlife that we now enjoy.
I feel this is a major threat to us financially, our homes being our largest investment, that the home values would plummet if the plan was allowed to proceed.

Not only unsightly, wire tower structures and even underground piping can be a potential health threat. I think it would be unfair to subject us needlessly to this type of development when there are areas to the North that might be less likely to intrude on our quality of life.

Thank you for your consideration and help with this matter.

Gloria Mills
Thank you for your comment, Julius Elwood.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50158. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 09:02:39AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50158

First Name: Julius
Middle Initial: R
Last Name: Elwood
Organization: Navajo Nation Resident
Address: P.O. Box 1283
City: Crownpoint
State: NM
Zip: 87313
Country: USA
Email: Elwood-9@hotmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don’t withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
I absolutely oppose any proposed “Energy” corridors into or near the Navajo Nation. For many years the United States government has forced upon the Navajo people numerous energy policies that deliberately harm the health and safety of the Navajo people without any input from the Navajo people. Many privately owned energy companies have taken unfair advantage of the Navajo Mineral Resources with the assistance, such as subsidies, of the United States government, purposely deceiving the Navajo people. In addition this exploitation, by the energy companies and the US government, the Navajo people continually inherit the pollution, visually and ecologically, which negatively affects our environment and the overall health of my people. The United States Government has historically persecuted Native American people for their land and minerals and this is a continuation of that legacy by the United States Government. This is just another blatant attempt by the United States to forcibly take more land and natural resources from the Navajo Nation with little or no benefit to the Navajo people but instead is designed to benefit the “white people” who live in Los Angeles, Las Vegas, Phoenix, etc. We, Navajo, will only inherit the desecration of our sacred Mother Earth, environmental pollution and the harmful health impacts of these Government Subsidized Energy Companies.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Peter Humm.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50159. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 11:39:48AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50159

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Humm
Address:
City:
State: ID
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
I am a recently retired employee of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM-USDl), and worked for that agency as a Realty Specialist. My work included 5 years as the BLM Project Manager for the Sierra Pacific Power Company’s 345kv Alturas, CA to Reno, NV power transmission line, and for the Tuscarora Gas Co. 20" natural gas pipeline in the same part of NE California. The powerline project took a total of 5 years from start to completion, including 2 years for the EIS, 2 years for appeals and a lawsuit, and one year to build it. The pipeline, which had no appeals, took only 3 years. One thing that helped expedite the pipeline is the fact that the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 made BLM the lead decision-making agency for all federal lands involved in the pipeline project, including National Forest lands. BLM issued the final right-of-way (ROW) across all federal lands involved in the pipeline project. Unfortunately, the powerline project did not have one federal decision-making agency, but three: BLM, USFS, and the US Corps of Engineers. The USFS dragged their feet for at least an extra year, refusing to issue a ROW for the 3 miles (out of 165 miles) that crossed National Forest.

I strongly believe that one of the major issues delaying the 345kv power project was the lack of a coordinated, west-wide corridor study that would bind all of the federal agencies involved. In my 28 years with the BLM, I took part in two major BLM planning efforts. Both of those attempts to designate corridors on public lands within our district, but those designations generally had no connection to anything that the US Forest Service and other agencies wanted for corridors (if any). Each agency, and each management unit within those agencies, had to come up with their best guess for where the corridors should run. This lack of west-wide federal lands coordination has prevented any coherent corridor designation for the western US.

With that as a basis, I strongly support this proposed corridor designation for the western US. The current power grid is totally inadequate to support the last 30-40 years of population growth in the western US, and the lack of coordination between Federal and State land managing agencies makes it difficult if not impossible to build major transmission lines in anything approaching a timely manner. At the current rate of non-building, the western power grid becomes more likely to collapse from any minor disruption on any small segment of the grid. This corridor study is at least a recognition of the
fact that the western power grid is an essential element of modern society, and that regional power needs should outweigh the typical NIMBY attitude of local protestors. I will guarantee that 99.9% of the people (and Federal agencies) who "don't want an ugly powerline in their back yard" are benefiting from their own connection to the power grid -- and their connection to the grid goes through someone else's backyard to deliver power to them. The corridors designated as a result of this study MUST be made binding/mandatory on any of the Federal lands that they cross.

Congress also needs to get off the dime and pass legislation that will make ONE Federal agency the decision maker for each power line, with the authority to issue a grant on Federal lands even over the disagreements of other agencies involved. The lead agency could be whichever one has the most lands involved in the project, or FERC, or whatever, but there needs to be one ROW-issuing authority for each project.

Most Americans appear to believe that their power comes out of the plug in the wall, and never give a thought to the necessity for a reliable, national power transmission grid. I am very pleased to see that someone has recognized the need for a west-wide corridor designation, and I strongly support this effort.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorpeiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: correolaiswebmaster@ani.gov
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2008 11:51 AM
To: rail_correolaisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50160

Thank you for your comment, Joseph Cummins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50160. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 11:51:06AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50160

First Name: Joseph
Last Name: Cummins
Address: 124 LyLe Way
City: Carrollton
State: GA
ZIP: 30117
Country: USA
Email: jcummins1@bellsouth.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
On the surface of the data supplied these energy corridors appear to be similar to Interstate hwy corridors which have helped transportation needs of the American people. Likewise, perhaps these proposed energy corridors will also prove beneficial to the American people. However, there are always a negative side to any proposed concept. Thus, even though I have read a lot of this proposal I continue to wonder who gets the ill effects and who receives the goodies?

Below is a very short list of concerns that I feel have not been adequately addressed/explained in this draft plan.

1... I am concerned that the proposed energy corridor # 81-272 will adversely affect any/all potential future mining activity[es]/projects on Public or Private lands located in the area of the Cabalo Mts and the Jornada del Muerto between White Sands Missile Base Range and the Rio Grande River.

2... The BLM lands are supposed to be multiple use. Yet, according to what I read within this plan, very little, if any emphasis is placed upon the historical, cultural and traditional use of the Public Land for mineral extraction that benefits not only the local socio-economic inhabitants but the entire American people. Therefore, if this proposed corridor plan will disrupt the mineral extraction for this specific area I am opposed to this draft plan.

3... I find this Draft Plan to be loaded with ambiguity, particularly regarding how # 81-272 may affect mining claim owners and potential mining activities. The BLM personnel know quite well what the possible ill effects on local economies, companies, mining claim owners and mineral prospectors. So why aren't these negative effects spelled-out so any person who can read will know the consequences instead of having to guess?

4... Despite the fact that the BLM has on file each and every mining claim owner's address no attempt has been made to inform mining claim owners of the risks to their efforts this plan may or may not create.
Respectfully submitted,
Joseph Cummins
124 Lyle Way
Carrollton, GA 30117

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
corridoreiswebmaster@aml.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster
at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Deborah Risberg.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50161. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 11:57:51AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50161

First Name: Deborah
Last Name: Risberg
State: MN
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed corridors in 11 western states has many flaws:

1. Why move backwards in regards to energy when we should be moving forward by focusing on sustainable, renewable technologies?
2. The PEIS violated the Energy Policy Act of 2005 by not contacting local governments and by not looking at environmental impacts under NEPA. There must be much more planning, analysis, and consultation before ever issuing an EIS.
3. I used to write NEPA documents, and shouldn’t there be alternatives instead of only having No Action and Proposed Action?
4. Wildlife refuges, national parks, and other wilderness areas would be greatly affected by these corridors; short-term energy production is not worth the permanent loss of land and water resources that we all depend on.
5. A 3500-foot right-of-way is outrageous! That is uncalled for.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: correidoreiswebmaster@ani.gov
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2008 5:41 PM
To: rail_corridorarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50163

Thank you for your comment, Eileen Murphy.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50163. Once
the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking
number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 05:40:28PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50163

First Name: Eileen
Last Name: Murphy
State: MN
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
REROUTE
THE PROPOSED ENERGY CORRIDORS TO
AVOID ANY SPECIAL WILDLANDS AND NATIONAL TREASURES THAT NEED PRESERVING FOR POSTERITY.
DO NOT DESTROY WILDLANDS AND VISTAS...
DO NOT RUIN RECREATIONAL SITES...
DO NOT DISRUPT ENDANGERED ANIMAL AND PLANT HABITATS...
DO CONSERVE OUR NATURAL HERITAGE THAT IS PUBLIC-OWNED LAND!

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
correidoreiswebmaster@ani.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster
at (630)252-6182.
From: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2008 6:31 PM
To: rail.corridorarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50164

Thank you for your comment, Michael Gaffney.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50164. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 06:31:22PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50164

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Gaffney
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
The transmission of energy from one location to another is one of the largest inefficiencies in our energy usage today. It is roughly on a par with the inefficiencies of over-the-road vehicles.
The solution to this is not to spend an insane amount of money creating longer and larger wastes of energy, but to invest in more localized production so that the power-leaching process of transmission can be minimized.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, David Lawicki II.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEDS0165. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 08:25:48 PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEDS0165

First Name: David
Middle Initial: A
Last Name: Lawicki II
Address: 2402 Darwin Lane
City: Saginaw
State: MI
Zip: 48603
Country: USA
Email: davelawicki@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don’t withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
To Whom It May Concern,

This "octopus" as some are calling it is a horrible beast that should not be allowed to be unleashed. I must agree with others in saying that this is taking a broken system and making it bigger. How far must you push the citizens of the United States. We do not want this, we do not want this. You are our elected officials, you are to serve our needs, we do not need this. What we need is the opposite: Environmentally friendly energy that doesn't smash through half our country.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2008 10:32 PM
To: rail.corridorarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWED50166

Thank you for your comment, Irene Hamilton.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50166. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 25, 2008 10:31:57PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50166

First Name: Irene
Last Name: Hamilton
Address: 
City: 
State: NM
Zip: 
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
I believe some of the proposed corridors will disturb many sensitive fauna and flora, as well as disturb the healing vistas of many scenic areas. Therefore, I am against this onslaught of our American heritage.

Why can't the proposed corridors follow existing right of ways such as existing roads and interstate highways?

Do not tear up any new grounds and inflict irreparable damage.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6192.

50166-001
From: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Saturday, January 26, 2008 10:27 AM
To: rail_corridorisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50167

Thank you for your comment, Carol Parker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50167. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 26, 2008 10:27:44AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWECD50167

First Name: Carol
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: Parker
State: NM
Zip: 87043
Country: USA
Email: cmoparker922@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
The EIS is misleading and deficient. First, it does not consider the impact of corridor designation on adjacent local plans. Second, it does not illustrate plainly that in many cases there is existing infrastructure that will likely influence the likely corridors on private land and provides no notice to affected landowners adjacent to those private lands where such infrastructure exists. Second, it fails to point out that usual way to build energy infrastructure on private land is to use eminent domain.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Saturday, January 26, 2008 11:07 AM
To: rail_corridorisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50168

Thank you for your comment, Carolyn Emanuel.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50168. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 26, 2008 11:06:42AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50168

First Name: Carolyn
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Emanuel
Organization: Colorado Mountain Club
Address: 2247 Saddlehorn road
City: Grand Junction
State: CO
Zip: 81503
Country: USA
Email: austex039bresnan.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Please do not race into the Energy Corridors without taking into consideration the following: 1) Have we given proper consideration to improving access for renewable energy such as solar and wind. 2) Federal lands that are environmentally sensitive lands should be avoided altogether. 3) Risks to federal and other lands should be realistically assessed. 4) Avoid all proposed wilderness areas in pending legislation. Make sure alternatives are provided. Carolyn Emanuel

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)232-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Anne Wodrich.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50169. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 26, 2008 12:30:47PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50169

First Name: Anne
Middle Initial: N
Last Name: Wodrich
Address:
Address 2:
City:
State: MT
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
I firmly oppose the plan as currently proposed.
Please reconsider the impact to land, animals, vegetation in the areas affected!
We MUST protect our lands/environment from greedy damage!

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster
at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, David Calahan.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50170. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 26, 2008 05:55:51PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50170

First Name: David
Last Name: Calahan
Address: 11,000 Hwy. 238
City: Applegate
State: OR
Zip: 97530
Country: USA
Email: dpcalahan@apbb.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
The idea of this corridor running across our state and all the environmental ramifications of that proposal make this plan a BAD IDEA. Put my wife and I down as opposed to this pipeline.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Makenzie Selland.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50171. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 26, 2008 08:20:52PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50171

First Name: Makenzie
Last Name: Selland
Address: 4110 East Village Circle
City: Flagstaff
State: AZ
Zip: 86004
Country: USA
Email: melselland@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
To Whom It May Concern,

I am a public school teacher who lives in Flagstaff, Arizona, and I am proud to be an Arizona native who has spent most of her life in this beautiful state. I am concerned that the Department of Energy's proposed energy corridors will harm special places in our country's western states and do lasting damage to precious wildlife and recreational sites that we should keep safe for future generations.

And so, I ask the Department of Energy to be thoughtful in its proposal and do the following. I demand that studies are done to show that new pipelines or power lines are actually needed before they are constructed, and that federal lands and special or sensitive public lands are avoided altogether. I ask that projects are subjected to best management practices to limit damage to other resources, recreation and views, and that risks to federal and other affected lands are realistically and thoroughly assessed, so that those risks can then be avoided or minimized.

Most strenuously, I ask that consideration is given to improving access for renewable energy, such as wind and solar before non-renewable energy is even considered.

We owe it to ourselves to show integrity when using the land that has been entrusted to us for future generations. Do not denigrate public lands with unnecessary energy corridors that will fragment wildlife populations and leave us without any special places where we can still encounter the wild, beautiful west in its unspoiled glory.

Thank you for your time,
Makenzie Selland

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Carol Tepper.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50172. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 26, 2008 09:39:41PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50172

First Name: Carol
Last Name: Tepper
Address: 
City: 
State: AZ
Zip: 
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Before you approve these corridors, please make sure that:
- they actually needed;
- federal lands are necessary locations, and special or sensitive public lands are avoided altogether;
- projects are subjected to best management practices to limit damage to other resources, recreation and views;
- risks to federal and other affected lands are realistically and thoroughly assessed, so that those risks can then be avoided or minimized;
- once appropriate locations are identified, projects on federal lands are presumptively limited to those corridors; and
- consideration is given to improving access for renewable energy, such as wind and solar.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-8182.
From: corrdorislewebmaster@ar1.gov
Sent: Saturday, January 26, 2008 10:20 PM
To: mail_corrdorislearchives@ar1.gov
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWED50173
Attachments: Energy_Corridor_letter_updated_WWED50173.doc

Thank you for your comment, Kevin Culhane.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50173. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 26, 2008 10:19:51PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50173

First Name: Kevin
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: Culhane
Address: PO Box 173
Address 2: 1717 Colesteina road
City: Ashland
State: OK
Zip: 97520
Country: USA
Email: culhane@jffnet.org
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record
Attachment: C:\Users\Culhane\Documents\energy_corridor_letter_updated.doc

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corrdorislewebmaster@ar1.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-6182.
Re: West-wide Energy Corridor DEIS

To Whom It May Concern:

As an 18 year resident of Colestine valley, and a biology and chemistry professor at teaching at Rogue community college, I would like to submit my objections to the proposed energy corridor that is planned to run through Colestine valley. This is a region adjacent to the Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument, and is ecologically as important in its relative pristine nature, and diverse plant and animal species, many of which are unique to this area and threatened. The Colestine valley residents endure many difficulties due to the mountainous terrain and extremes in weather, but find that the natural beauty and relatively natural setting more than compensates for the hazards of the blizzards, forest fires, limited communication and difficult access.

The proposed 2/3 mile wide corridor would severely impact the natural beauty and fragile nature of the valley. In addition, such an energy corridor would be vulnerable to the all of the prevalent natural hazards previously mentioned, as well as become a prime target for terrorist disruption: adjacent to the I-5 corridor (the only route over Siskiyou pass), incredibly steep terrain, difficult access for emergency services, and isolation for conducting unobserved sabotage. I can't imagine that a trained geologist, environmental scientist, or homeland security agent who had travelled our valley would ever have submitted this region as a proposed route. So, even if the aesthetics, the environmental importance, and whims of the long time residents were ignored, just on a practical level this is perhaps the worst possible route that could ever have been visualized. It is a disaster waiting to happen, and it can be easily avoided.

Thank you for taking my opinion into consideration,

Kevin Culhane
Thank you for your comment, David Rowe.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50174. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 10:47:09AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50174

First Name: David
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Rowe
City:
State: CO
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Instead of financing pipelines and powerlines, why not just shorten the distance energy has to be delivered. Aggressively fund home based solar projects and wind projects. If each home in this great land had solar panels on the roof, energy consumption would be curbed, and us Americans will have a few extra bucks in our pocket. Every month! Far better that any temporary stimulus plan I can think of. Make solar panels mandatory on all new home construction. Raise the standards for efficiency of windows, doors, insulation, siding. All of the small steps would help to avoid greater plunder of some of the last remaining open wilderness zones. It makes me wonder in our representatives, and senators are deep in the pocket of the energy companies. Make us trust you again, with so many failures occurring, it makes me wonder what the agenda really is.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Beverly Jackson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50175. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 12:09:43PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50175

First Name: Beverly
Last Name: Jackson
Organization:
Address:
Address 2:
Address 3:
City:  
State: MT
Zip:  
Country: USA
Email:  
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
I am against trying to take a failed energy policy and make it better, or merely adequate, for ever-increasing consumption at the expense of habitat, and the ecological health of our western landscape. Clearly there is a better solution to our energy needs than to keep going down this fossil fuel corridor of destruction which only benefits those sectors of the energy industry who would hold us back from developing a sustainable energy policy, without concern over the ultimate costs resulting in an uninhabitable world. We CAN do better.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@ani.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Sunday, January 27, 2008 12:10 PM
To: rail_corridorisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWED50176

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50176. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 12:10:20PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50176

First Name:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State: WY
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted: Please provide or direct me to a detailed map showing proposed and existing corridor for Sweetwater County, Wyoming

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Nancy LaPlaca.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50177. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 12:27:17PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50177

First Name: Nancy
Last Name: LaPlaca
Address: 4001 W. Yale Ave.
City: Denver
State: CO
Zip: 80219-5651
Country: USA
Email: nancylaapla@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
We should not rush blindly to build more transmission lines, but take a more measured approach and first see how much we can reduce demand, using energy efficiency and distribute generation.

PLEASE PUT ME ON ANY LIST AS I WANT TO BE NOTIFIED OF HEARINGS.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Stanley Rose.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50178. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 01:10:29PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWEC50178

First Name: Stanley
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Rose
Address: 1440 Mountain View Dr.
City: Missoula
State: MT
Zip: 59802
Country: USA
Email: srose@mtwi.net
Privacy Preference: Don’t withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Recommend no action on these corridors. It merely signals that this country is still stuck in the morass of fossil fuel addiction. Put the equivalent amount of resources into locally generated electrical energy and serious reduction in oil and coal use. Nuclear—never! We need some severe measures to move us into the future of non-fossil fuel energy. Now is the time to put resources into those alternatives.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6102.
From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Sunday, January 27, 2008 1:34 PM
To: rail_corridoreisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50179

Thank you for your comment, Laurie Maitre.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50179. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 01:33:53 PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50179

First Name: Laurie
Middle Initial: P
Last Name: Maitre
Address: 13151 Verde Drive
City: Mendocino
State: CA
Zip: 95460
Country: USA
Email: LPMaitre@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
The federal land energy corridors, as set out in the draft proposal, guarantee to destroy many of the wilderness areas set aside for the protection of both abundant and endangered species and for the enjoyment and solitude of future generations of Americans. These BLM and Forestry areas are designated wilderness to preserve a unique ecosystem and not for the convenience of the federal government to construct and exploit yet another vital area set aside for the future of our nation.

Given the present makeup of the Supreme Court I worry that a lawsuit to stop designation of these corridors could end up being ignored and the government pillage rubber-stamped instead. I therefore insist that such an attempt to grab and destroy our wild treasures stop immediately at this level. Laurie Maitre

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Julie Bacon.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50180. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 01:55:46PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50180

First Name: Julie
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: Bacon
Address: 1725 1st Ave
City: Albany
State: OR
Zip: 97331
Country: USA
Email: juliecolibri@aol.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
This plan is unacceptable. There is too much risk to wildlife, lands, and property rights if this plan is accepted. No way should we go ahead with this.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6162.
Thank you for your comment, Teresa Wicks.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEDS0181. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 02:24:12PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEDS0181

First Name: Teresa
Middle Initial: o
Last Name: Wicks
Address: 1725 1st Ave E
City: Albany
State: OR
Country: USA
Email: birdcollibr@ AOL.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
As a natural resources student at Oregon State University I am incredibly distressed by Dick Cheney’s proposed Energy Corridor Plan. Eminent domain is no longer justifiable because large number of people will be negatively affected by these corridors. Besides the large number of people negatively affected by this plan, large numbers of wildlife will be affected as well. Habitat will be destroyed, more edges will be created allowing the expansion of exotic invasive species, and populations of local wildlife will be depleted if not rendered extant. I urge you to fight the forceful removal of land from private and public agency ownership to corporate ownership. Corporations are not held to the same standards as government agencies and they have no connection to the land and are therefore poor stewards (compared to private owners). The west once stood for green spaces and environmental protection don’t allow our standards to fall even lower than they already have.

Sincerely,

Teresa "Bird" Wicks

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)232-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Thomas Sawtell.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50182. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 06:08:29PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50182

First Name: Thomas
Middle Initial: E
Last Name: Sawtell
City:
State: OR
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
As a native Oregonian with a long time Molalla family history, I feel it is time to address this potential travesty of intrusion by the mega-business entity and governmental agencies involved in the Palomar Natural Gas Pipeline project. This situation does devalue rich farmlands; does destroy timberlands; does endanger the general public in affected areas; and does create wildlife habitat endangerment. If such pipeline is to be forced on those of us in the proposed line routes then the compensation must be just and appropriate for those persons that are directly and indirectly affected. The compensation must be jointly agreed upon by both sides, not a forced (take it or leave it) pittance with no say on the part of the property owners involved. This has become more apparent now than we are lead to understand the actual Right of Way may actually end up being 3500 feet wide. Once again we are not in favor of such a Right of Way, but if it is mandated by the Government, equality and fairness must prevail.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50183. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008  06:00:33PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50183

First Name:
Middle Initial:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State: NM
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record
Attachment: [i:\Documents and Settings\My Documents\Comments to Dept. of Energy.doc

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-6192.
Kindly consider the following points when the Secretaries of the various federal departments consider expanding Rights of Way (ROWs) pipeline corridors through Placitas, New Mexico:

1. RE: expansion of ROWs along Camino de las Huertas and Telecote Road

Note that currently, the only two entry and exit routes to such areas as Windmill Trail, Camino de la Questa del Aire, Llano del Norte, and Indian Flats are Camino de las Huertas and Telecote Road, across which the pipeline currently extends and where excavation would necessarily be conducted if the expansion plan were to be approved.

The expansion of existing ROWs beyond current widths along Camino de las Huertas and Telecote Road will jeopardize access to thousands of homes to the north, creating a dangerous situation in the event of medical or fire emergency.

These points add to the argument that expanding ROWs in Placitas is a flawed idea.

2. RE: Placitas Elementary School and the Placitas Community Center

Both of the above structures, serving Placitas children and adults are adjacent to existing pipelines. Expansion of ROWs will negate the renovations that Sandoval County recently completed on both buildings.

In addition, expansion of ROWs will create an ongoing and guaranteed health and safety hazard (see comments of Reid Bandeen, Pres. of Placitas Association, at the public hearing in ABQ, on Jan. 24, 2008) during excavation, as well as create noise pollution throughout the lengthy process, making it impossible for school children to learn and for teachers to teach. The disruption to the community will not stop here. Placitas has always prided itself as an artists’ enclave; with the intrusive work proposed by the expansion of ROWs, accompanied by heavy machinery, lighting, workmen, and resulting litter, noise, and potential damage to the structure of homes and studios through blasting, artists’ work will cease. In essence, the proposed expansion of ROWs will intrude upon and ultimately destroy the very reason why people have sought to live in Placitas in the first place. Again, these points add to the argument that expanding ROWs in Placitas is a flawed idea.

3. RE: Consider Rte. 22 Corridor as an alternative

Please consider bypassing Placitas and, instead, utilizing the much less developed Route 22 corridor.

4. RE: Location of future public hearings

Please consider moving future public hearings to Placitas, where more residents will be able to attend and to hear, first hand, what the various federal Departments are
proposing. Consider calling for hearings in the Presbyterian Church on Route 165 or in the Placitas Elementary School.

5. RE: Please see the people of Placitas and the place for yourselves.

Please come to Placitas, see the development of high-end homes and the maintenance of sacred open space, as well as people, wildlife, topography, and air quality that would be impacted by an expansion of ROWs. If you, the Secretaries of the various involved federal Departments come to Placitas, you will see that the Placitas of yesteryear is not the new and growing Placitas of today.

6. RE: The whole plan

Please share with the community the whole plan, including what the various involved federal Departments are considering doing in the future with the proposed expansion of ROWs, if such expansion is approved, later begun, and completed.

If that future plan includes adding more pipelines and/or connecting segments of pipelines, those possibilities ought to be honestly articulated. Detailed and clear maps ought to be provided, and public comments ought to be solicited and carefully considered by the Secretaries of the various involved federal departments. And more public hearings must be held.

If the Master Plan involves more than the proposed expansion of ROWs, the public's comments regarding their concerns about adding more pipelines and/or connecting segments of pipelines or some other part of the Master Plan should be elicited and considered as carefully as the public's comments and concerns about the expansion of ROWs only.

Thank you.
From: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Sunday, January 27, 2008 8:32 PM
To: rail.corridoreisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50184

Thank you for your comment, Pat Vester.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50184. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008  08:31:52PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50184

First Name: Pat
Last Name: Vester
Address: POBox 1417
City: Pena Blanca
State: NM
ZIP: 87041
Country: USA
Email: pattspmp@att.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
A big concern I have is one you might wish to consider. Do you realize that with this project you will have our enemies a 6,000 mile plus target? Additionally, most of this 6,000 miles will be in areas with NO police, NO law enforcement of any kind! How will you protect a pipeline, etc. that is over 6,000 miles long?

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)232-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Roger Thomas.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50185. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 10:58:16PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50185

First Name: Roger
Middle Initial: A
Last Name: Thomas
Address:
City:
State: CA
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
The proposed energy corridors should not cross and close land designated by the state of California as "open land" for offroad recreation. These land designations are not getting larger and proposed federal and state government projects will reduce this land set aside for offroad recreation. Where as this sport of recreation is fast growing and needs land to provide recreation for its citizens. The land not being used for anything other than viewing should be where the corridors of energy should be placed. The Bill should go to Congress and have them allow energy corridors in vast expanses of nothingness called "wilderness". Public comment was not sought in the removal by congress of millions of acres of recreational land use by hiking, biking, mining, fishing, and motorized visitation by its citizens. The disabled Americans act does not apply to these wilderness areas. Nothing with wheels is allowed in the wilderness, unless you are an "environmentalist" or government agency. If you need the corridor, place it where no one goes and no one can see it. The land in the California offroad open parks is needed and should not be taken when other options exist for land use already "owned" by the government. Thank you.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Sunday, January 27, 2008 11:08 PM
To: rail_corridoreisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECDS0186

Thank you for your comment.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECDS0186. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 27, 2008 11:08:01 PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWECDS0186

First Name:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State: UT
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
This proposed network of energy corridors does not adequately consider the fact that to a large extent, damage to biological, scientific, cultural, wilderness, and recreational resources on public lands could be significantly reduced through the implementation of nationwide energy conservation measures in building standards, fuel mileage requirements, efficiency gains by developing and deploying already existing next generation electrical equipment, and local, distributed generation of renewable energy from sources such as solar and wind. This energy corridor design represents a colossal give-away of the public commons to perpetuate a massive, increasingly failing and outdated, centralized, fossil fuel based, industrial scale energy model, that cannot adequately address the urgent need for reducing global warming gasses which are causing a rapid overheating of our planet.

 Scrap this plan. Re-align energy priorities away from wasteful profit-driven analysis, and toward current, irrefutable scientific findings on global warming which consider the damage to our economy as well as our ecosystems, from carbon dioxide emissions. Start the engineering process all over, using a new set of more rational assumptions.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2008 7:30 AM
To: rail_corridorarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50187

Thank you for your comment, Gregg Cooke.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50187. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 28, 2008 07:29:52AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50187

First Name: Gregg
Last Name: Cooke
Address:
City:
State: IL
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
This is just dumb...why expand energy transport rights-of-way when the single biggest part of our energy problem is going to be CONSERVATION, and another big part is local power generation? The amount of energy flowing around the country should go down, not up -- even accounting for growth, we already have enough capacity for many many more years.

Aside from the ecological damage this causes, these rights-of-way are so fractured that to be any use at all the government is going to have to purchase or steal all the intervening private parcels -- which will keep this whole thing so tied up in court cases for so long that the need for these rights-of-way will have long since evaporated.

...Or was that the intention all along?

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: corridoriswebmaster@ani.gov
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2008 6:47 AM
To: mail.corridorisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50188

Thank you for your comment, Ted Silberstein.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50188. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 28, 2008 08:46:40AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50188

First Name: Ted
Last Name: Silberstein
Organization: GIS Analyst
Address:
City:
State: CA
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
This concerns my observation on the California map. Most of the populated central part of the state is privately held. It is obvious from the map that the proposed project is
designed to link into existing corridors/pathways on private lands. I would like to see a
draft map on the proposed private land corridors. At one time there was a concern about
having the existing corridors close together thus having higher risk for catastrophy, eg:
earthquakes, volcanos, terrorism. Has this been addressed?

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
corridoriswebmaster@ani.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster
at (630)252-6192.
Thank you for your comment, Alan Ross.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWCECD0189. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 28, 2008  09:25:15PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWCECD0189

First Name: Alan
Last Name: Ross
Address: 13151 Verde Drive
City: Mendoaino
State: CA
Zip: 95460
Country: USA
Email: arossbmo@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
I feel it is very short sighted to develope these large corridors through wildlife preserves and other areas already desigated for preservign wild life.

We should be focusing on alternative energy sources with local solar/wind/etc production and local distribution through already existing lines.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriewebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: corridoriswebmaster@ani.gov
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2008 9:27 PM
To: rail_corridorarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC00190

Thank you for your comment, Mark Motzer.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC00190. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 28, 2008 09:26:54PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC00190

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Motzer
Address: 5 Caballo Sendero
City: Placitas
State: NM
Zip: 87043
Country: USA
Email: mmotzer@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Because the plan currently proposed deals only with federal land, no consideration has been given to private lands in between that will be needed to fully establish a corridor. This means that the "plan" is not really a plan, but rather a partial plan that fails to take into account the impact on people, wildlife and habitat along large swaths of full implementation.

As a property owner whose home lies directly between two federal land corridor segments, I find the lack of concern for the impacts on the health and safety of residents and the community to be quite alarming. Accidents happen! Please see: http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0808/20/sm.02.html

Also of concern is the "plan" does not address how the private lands needed to make the corridor viable will be acquired. Will it be by eminent domain? And if so, shouldn't that be disclosed now while the plan is being debated? Or is the intention of the government to railroad that through after the "plan" is adopted?

Besides being concerned about the impact on our local communities, I also have concerns for our nation. Building massive new infrastructure that spans thousands of miles and is vulnerable to attack at any point does not improve our national security, but rather diminishes it. One only need look at Iraq, where the presence of 150,000 American troops and similarly large numbers of private contractors and American trained Iraqi forces does not guarantee pipe and transmission lines will not be targeted by those who wish to do our country harm.

Instead, we should be looking for ways to decentralize our energy production and transmission, making ourselves less vulnerable in the process.

Also, the thinking behind the building of these massive energy corridors is based on the assumption that our future energy needs will be met by the same resources and technologies that we have relied on for the past hundred years. In this age of dwindling fossil fuels, it may be foolhardy to continue down this road. New infrastructure of this nature will only encourage us as a nation to continue importing vast amounts of oil and is the future liquefied natural gas, which still leaves us dependent on foreign countries for our energy needs and weakens us economically.
Instead of looking for ways to move highly volatile and vulnerable materials or transmitting electricity across great distances with its associated inefficiencies, we should be enacting policies that promote the development and implementation of local solutions. Forward looking, American innovation in the use of renewable and alternative energy sources and community based distribution are what are needed here, not more large scale investments in infrastructure that weakens us both from a security standpoint and economically.

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Sincerely,
Mark Motzer

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorrelawebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Karen Perry.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50191. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 28, 2008 10:40:10PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50191

First Name: Karen
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Perry
Address: 311 S Delgada Ave
City: Yucca Valley
State: CA
Zip: 92284
Country: USA
Email: itssearchme@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
I am opposed to the 120+ applications in the Mojave Desert for energy generation and transmission projects that have been submitted to the BLM.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Allen Perry.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50192. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 28, 2008 10:42:21PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50192

First Name: Allen
Middle Initial: W
Last Name: Perry
Address: 311 S Delgado Ave
City: Yucca Valley
State: CA
Zip: 92264
Country: USA
Email: itsearchme@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don’t withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
I am appalled by the behavior of the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, who for the past two years has made false claims and lied when confronted with factual evidence. It is this type of deceitful and dishonest behavior that erodes trust and gives government a bad name.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, Laurel Bertini.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEDCD0193. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 28, 2008 11:43:04PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEDCD0193

First Name: Laurel
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Bertini
Organization: cadesertcoaltion
Address:
Address 2:
City:
State: CA
Zip:
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Electromagnetic waves cause leukemia, brain tumors, birth defects and depression. LADWP is not only acting irresponsibly by threatening the health and well being of our human and animal populations of the high desert area, but by outsourcing their energy needs, is depriving the Angelenos' chance to increase LA's economic infrastructure by producing their own energy plant and creating jobs. China builds one energy plant a day. Why shouldn't LA keep their energy local and build one in a lifetime, instead of raping the rest of Southern California?

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
Thank you for your comment, jonathan bertini.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50194. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 29, 2008 12:01:13AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50194

First Name: jonathan
Middle Initial: a
Last Name: bertini
Organization: california desert coalition
Address: PO Box 308
City: Pioneertown
State: CA
Zip: 92268
Country: USA
Email: jonbertini@juno.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted: destroying the jewel of the high desert[pipes canyon] in order to bring energy falsely named green to an ungrateful and grossly insensitive population of los angeles is sinful and not acceptable. it's time los angeles stops raping it's surrounding environs and takes responsibility for it's own growth both legal and illegal. the mayor will forever be associated with this atrocity.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6192.
From: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2008 10:23 AM
To: rail_correidoreisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEDC50195

Thank you for your comment, Elizabeth Farnsworth.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEDC50195. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 29, 2008 10:22:42AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEDC50195

First Name: Elizabeth
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Farnsworth
Organization: Private Individual
Address: 564 W. 530 S.
City: Orem
State: UT
Zip: 84058
Country: USA
Email: pb2@matrernmetro.net
Privacy Preference: Don’t withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
There is no need whatsoever for a corridor between Utah County and the northern edge of Arizona. This corridor would dominate and destroy arable land in a state with none to spare, encouraging population growth on a landscape that cannot support it. Another proposed corridor snaking between Canyonlands and Arches would again dominate and destroy the tourist value of both parks. Would you travel from Germany to see power lines in Utah? The corridor on the east side of the Ruby Mountains would destroy some of the prettiest, lush ranchland in the Western United States to the benefit of Las Vegas, a sprawling environmental obscenity in the desert. The authors of this proposal are obviously not Westerners who care about and understand migration patterns of antelope, elk and bison, the fragility of desolate, desert ecologies, the protection of established farmland within those deserts, the importance of non-disturbed grasslands and forests, or our obligation as intelligent stewards to preserve and protect environments, flora and fauna for future generations. Please do not go forward with these proposed corridors. When you alter desert landscapes, you ruin them forever. There are local power alternatives: wind farms, solar arrays and thermal energy which would not require massive, long-distance energy corridors. Please consider new choices instead of enlarging poor energy practices of the past.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: correidoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2008 11:48 AM
To: rail_corridorarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWED50196

Thank you for your comment, dave puritz.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWED50196. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 29, 2008 11:47:41AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWED50196

First Name: dave
Middle Initial: j
Last Name: puritz
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
no please no
stop catering to energy companies and evil cheney

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoriswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.
From: correoleisciwebmaster@sl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2008 12:55 PM
To: maml_correoleisciarchives; correoleisciwebmaster@sl.gov
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50197
Attachments: WWEC_comments_WWEC50197.doc

Thank you for your comment, Laraine Turk.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50197. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 29, 2008 12:54:56PM CDT
Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50197
First Name: Laraine
Middle Initial: B
Last Name: Turk
Organization: California Desert Coalition
Address: P.O. Box 305
Address 2: 64024 Hollinger Rd.
City: Joshua Tree
State: CA
Zip: 92252-0305
Country: USA
Email: laraine518@earthlink.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record
Attachment: /Users/larine518/Desktop/CA Desert Coalition/WWEC comments.doc

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: correoleisciwebmaster@sl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630) 355-6192.
HI, Thanks for coming to Ontario to listen to us today. (January 10, 2008)

I am Laraine Turk, I live in Joshua Tree, and am a member of the California Desert Coalition and the Morongo Basin Conservation Association. I am concerned about the West Wide Energy Corridor in terms of the precious public land that is going to be so affected by new corridors. Decisions about public lands must be made ever so carefully—in the desert especially. It is fragile, and this must be CAREFULLY considered on balance with southern California’s and our country’s needs for energy.

It seems that you are considering under this programmatic EIS for West Wide Corridor what amounts to short cuts on process in the development of new corridors. I am concerned for these reasons:

1. We all know the old saying suggesting that when you’re cutting wood you should “measure twice and cut once.” Through this plan you could, in essence, end up measuring once, charging ahead, and making irreversible errors. We will be losing safeguards to the environment by not considering each proposed corridor fully and separately. The figurative “short pieces of wood” that result cannot be patched back together.

2. The 2002 National Strategic Plan in the US Climate Change Technology Program describes and emphasizes important alternatives to new corridors:

   USING EXISTING CORRIDORS, using lots of new and emerging technologies.
   GENERATING POWER LOCALLY – again, using emerging technology as well as proven and in-use methods.

   There are both efficiency and security reasons for these alternatives. Why is there so much emphasis on NEW Corridors in light of this national plan?

   If these two alternatives were followed (increase capacity of current lines and encourage and enable more local generation), the need for new corridors could be reduced greatly, and thousands of acres of public lands with their specialized flora and fauna saved from destruction.

3. I am especially concerned about Los Angeles Department of Water and Power’s request to add the so-called Green Path North corridor to the WWEC. Not only are there extensive environmental issues of wildlife corridors, desert plant damage, and cultural artifacts, the corridor would add new risks of fire damage to huge swaths of desert, both inhabited and wild. This is horrifying in light of the 2005 Sawtooth complex fire that changed the lives of thousands of people in the high desert.

So, please, take care of our lands by reducing the potential tidalwave of powerlines in the desert. Remember that increasing capacity of existing lines and encouraging and enabling local generation and conservation are the best methods of meeting future energy needs. Also, please say NO to LADWP’s Green Path North request. Green Path North should not be added to the WWEC.
Thank you for your comment, Sandra Johnson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WVECD50198. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 29, 2008 04:07:41PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WVECD50198

First Name: Sandra
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Johnson
Organization: UNOA, LPA, Boundaries, land owner
Address: 3 Katherine Court
City: Pecosnas
State: NM
Zip: 87043
Country: USA
Email: chuckandjandyljohnso@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don’t withhold name or address from public record
Attachment: C:\Users\Sandy\Documents\Wildlife Corridors\Written Comments.doc

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridorwebmaster@asl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-6132.
January 29, 2008

West-wide Energy Corridor DEIS
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 S. Cass Avenue
Building 900, Mail Stop 4
Argonne, IL 60439

Attachment: Map of Proposed Placitas Energy Corridor Site (page 3 of 3)

I represent WHOA (Wild Horse Observers Association), Pathways Wilderness Corridors of New Mexico, the Las Placitas Association, and myself as a Placitas homeowner. These are my written comments and suggestions to the PEIS from those I delivered at the January 24, 2008 Public Hearing in Albuquerque, NM.

- The process requires sufficient coordination and planning with affected parties and communities; the Energy Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) did not sufficiently engage or plan for communities, private land holders, the state, the Pueblos, or the Bureau of Indian Affairs who control/own all of the 23,000 miles of connective, non-public lands needed for successful completion of the corridor.

- Not a watt or BTU of energy can be delivered until a contiguous, complete Energy Corridor is constructed. Negotiations with thousands of private land owners throughout the nation must be completed before any energy can be delivered. The Energy Corridor will take decades to acquire the needed lands for completion.

- Western state private land owners, not considered in the initial plan of the Energy Corridor, will bear the larger, long term share of the damage done to their property values and quality of life values, but will have no assurance that the Energy corridor will ever be completed.

- All Sundance Mesa/Placitas land values will be depressed beginning immediately, and for as long as it takes to complete the Energy Corridor since no acquisition of private lands are “planned for” with this PEIS.

- There are deep concerns about the undisclosed hazards of constructing and operating an Energy Corridor through a populated community.

- No planning for noise, light, and dust pollution has been included.

- The 2 existing 70 foot pipeline ROWs already in the target area have not been successfully reclaimed. Ill attempts to replant the surface have resulted in wide swaths of barren land with little vegetation or accommodation for erosion and runoff.

- Provisions have not been made for a “trust fund” authority to deal with private property owners diminishing the likelihood of “fair” and “equal” treatment for every private land owner affected.

- The enclosed map with the proposed Energy Corridor of the Placitas BLM land route plotted on it goes directly through the only seasonal creek, watershed, wildlife habitat, and state protected wild horse grazing land in the area.

- The proposed site goes between the two existing 70 foot pipeline right of ways (ROW), and adds an additional 3,500 foot ROW in the middle of our only public relational, wildlife sanctuary lands.
The PEIS doesn’t address the use of the 50,000 acres surrounding Placitas not occupied by the Energy Corridor. Since Homeland Security is the overriding factor in establishing the Energy Corridor will the land be accessible for hiking or recreation?

Will the land surrounding the Energy Corridor become available for commercial or residential development? The PEIS doesn’t describe any concurrent usage.

Thousands of sparsely populated acres are adjacent to the proposed Placitas site and could be used at much less cost than disturbing an established community.

Existing Sandoval County and New Mexico land use laws are in place and are not compatible with the Energy Corridor use of the land.

I urge the project to rethink the omission of private lands in this PEIS. An national Energy Corridor cannot be completed in a reasonable time frame and within a realistic budget to deliver any serviceability without being based upon a complete plan.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandra Johnson
3 Katherine Court
Placitas, NM 87043
chuckandsandyjohnson@comcast.net
(505) 771-8174

Map of Proposed Placitas Energy Corridor Site follows:
From: corridoriewebmaster@ani.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2008 4:39 PM
To: rail_corridoreisarchives
Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWEC50199

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWEC50199. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 29, 2008 04:39:06PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS
Draft Comment: WWEC50199

First Name:
Middle Initial:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State: CA
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
1. I do not agree with policies and practices, adopted by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Department of Energy (DOE) and enacted in the West-wide Energy Corridor (WWEC) Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (Draft PEIS), that streamline procedures of the national Environmental Protection Act. This streamlining shortens time frames to expedite complicated analyses, thereby endangering the health and safety of our citizens and our precious habitats.

2. I do not agree with the land use subsidies that the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will give to corporations in the West-wide Energy Corridors, as these subsidies are another example of corporate welfare at our taxpayers' expense.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
corridoriewebmaster@ani.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.