## **Corridor EIS Archives**

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 5:27 PM

To: corridoreisarchives,

Subject: Preliminary Draft Corridor Map Comment M0040

Attachments: West-wide\_Energy\_Corridor\_Programmatic\_EIS\_comments\_M0040.DOC



West-wide\_Energy\_ Corridor\_Prog...

Thank you for your comment, Gary Sprague.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is M0040. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: July 3, 2006 05:26:59PM CDT

Preliminary Draft Corridor Map Comment: M0040

First Name: Gary Middle Initial: R Last Name: Sprague

Organization: WA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife

Address: Habitat Program, WDFW Address 2: 600 Capitol Way N.

City: Olympia State: WA

Zip: 98501-1091 Country: USA

Email: spraggrs@dfw.wa.gov

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Attachment: C:\data\Gary's Data\2006 files\Energy Corridors\West-wide Energy Corridor

Programmatic EIS comments.DOC

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Preliminary Draft Corridor Map Webmaster at (630)252-6182.



## State of Washington DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

Mailing Address: 600 Capitol Way N, Olympia, WA 98501-1091 - (360) 902-2200; TDD (360) 902-2207 Main Office Location: Natural Resources Building, 1111 Washington Street SE, Olympia, WA

July 3, 2006

Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability Room 8H-033
U.S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20585

To Whom It May Concern:

SUBJECT: WEST-WIDE ENERGY CORRIDOR PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (PEIS) MAP

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) is providing comments associated with the proposed action to designate corridors in the Western States for oil, gas and hydrogen pipelines and electricity transmission and distribution facilities in the State of Washington as part of the West-Wide Energy Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS). The WDFW has a mandate to preserve, protect, perpetuate, and manage the fish and wildlife of the state and their habitats. We believe this project has the potential to have detrimental effects on fish and wildlife and their habitats.

Because the information upon which we were requested to comment is very general, our response is mostly very general. The maps that were provided for comment do not include enough specific information to be able to determine potential fish and wildlife impacts. While the maps did have the capability to be magnified, they did not include any information about the multitude of water bodies that could be affected, information about federal and state listed species, Washington State's priority habitats and species, nor information about route specifics. For example, at Stevens Pass, Washington (at the border of King and Chelan Counties) the proposed route departs from the highway. From the information provided it is uncertain whether the proposed route goes through an existing tunnel or overland. If it is going overland it is unclear whether the route includes the existing power line corridor. The potential fish and wildlife impacts are very different for each of these routes. We request that future documents include the level of detail that will allow us to provide comments that speak to the specifics of the proposal.

West-Wide Energy Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement July 3, 2006 Page 2

The goal of the WDFW is to achieve no loss of habitat functions and values. Our mitigation policy states that the type of mitigation required shall be considered and implemented in the following sequential order of preference:

- Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action.
- Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation.
- Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment.
- Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action.
- Compensating for the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments.
- Monitoring the impact and taking appropriate corrective measures to achieve the identified goal.

Mitigation measures for fish and wildlife impacts, or a method for securing fish and wildlife mitigation, must be identified in the PEIS.

The greatest potential impact to fish and wildlife resources in the state from this project is the fragmentation of fish and wildlife sensitive habitats associated with creating or expansion of existing energy corridors. One way to prevent further habitat fragmentation and to minimize costs is to site pipeline corridors along existing roads, railroads or other rights-of-way. Important criteria in pipeline siting include avoiding, where possible, areas of rare habitat, areas containing threatened or endangered species (state and federal), wetlands, large continuous tracts of habitat, and forested areas. Where impacts occur mitigation should be required. Corridors should be maintained to prevent and remove invasive plant species. Protected areas such as national parks, wildlife refuges and wildlife areas should be avoided. Stream and river crossings should be avoided or crossed by directionally controlled horizontal drilling, or above the stream or river. We encourage the use of geographical information systems (GIS) to determine least destructive pipeline routes based on multiple criteria (Mora 1994). To protect wildlife during critical nesting and/or migration, the timing of construction activities should be coordinated with WDFW. Specifically, it appears that the corridor may go through the Entiat Wildlife Area. The energy corridor should avoid the Entiat Wildlife Area to prevent further diminishment of the wildlife habitat in this area. If the Entiat Wildlife Area is cannot be avoided mitigation should be identified to replace the habitat functions that will be lost due to the construction of energy projects. Impacts to soils and vegetation in this area must also be addressed to prevent propagation of invasive weeds.

The Washington "Hydraulic Code" (Chapter 77.55 RCW) requires that any person, organization,

West-Wide Energy Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement July 3, 2006 Page 3

or governmental agency wishing to conduct any construction activity that will use, divert, obstruct, or change the bed or flow of state waters must do so under the terms of a permit (called the Hydraulic Project Approval – HPA) issued by WDFW. State waters include all marine waters and fresh waters of the state. An HPA is required for conduit (pipeline) crossings of water bodies, and placement of utilities lines in the water.

The PEIS should include protection measures for any federal and state listed endangered, threatened, and sensitive species impacted by activities proposed under this impact statement. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) will need to be consulted for listed species under their respective jurisdictions.

The Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) program is a list of species and habitats types identified by the WDFW as priorities for management and preservation. The PHS information contains mapped and digital data, which displays occurrences of important fish, wildlife and habitat types and provides management recommendations that can assist in land-use activities. We encourage the use of this information in the PEIS for identification of fish, wildlife and sensitive lands in Washington State. More information on the PHS program is available on our web site at: http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phspage.htm

The Washington Department of Ecology guidance states that when adverse wetland impacts are truly "unavoidable", replacement ratios based on the rating of the wetland and/or type of wetland is recommended. The ratios listed below are general guidelines that are adjusted up or down based on the likelihood of success of the proposed mitigation and the expected length of time it will take to reach maturity (Department of Ecology, 1998).

Wetland Category	Restoration
Category 1 (all types)	6:1
Category 2 or 3	
• Forested	3:1
Scrub/shrub	2:1
Emergent	2:1
Category 4	1:25:1

The PEIS should also include a response plan for potential spills, specifically how spill response requirements will be protective of fish and wildlife resources in Washington State.

A long-term maintenance plan for management of these corridors should also be considered as part of this impact statement. Over time some parts of the pipeline or energy transmission

West-Wide Energy Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement July 3, 2006

Page 4

facility may require excavation, repair, and or/replacement. These activities may impact stream, wetland and/or riparian vegetation, aquatic or terrestrial resources.

Mitigation planning activities will require long term monitoring. Because a project of this magnitude could significantly impact established habitat, and restoration is often extremely difficult, monitoring and review of the restoration of the disturbed environment and mitigation sites should be required for an extended period of time (a minimum of 10 years). Vegetation removed for construction should be replanted with native vegetation specific to the local area.

Detailed stream crossings plans and specifications should be developed for all stream crossings and wetlands impacted. Adaptation of stream crossing plans from one stream to another may not be appropriate for the protection of all streams and the water bodies into which they discharge.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have any specific questions or comments I may be reached at (360) 902-2539 or <a href="mayer-sprages@dfw.wa.gov">spraggrs@dfw.wa.gov</a>

Sincerely,

Gary Sprague

Major Projects Section Manager

Dong R. Sprague

Habitat Program

Cc: Teresa Eturaspe, WDFW

References:

McMillan, A. 1998. How Ecology Regulates Wetlands. Washington State Department of Ecology Publication No. 97-112. Olympia, WA

Mora, K.K. 1994. Wildlife corridors and pipeline corridors, a comparative analysis *in* Hay, K.G. Greenways, Wildlife and Natural Gas Pipeline Corridors. The Conservation Fund Arlington, VA