

WEST-WIDE ENERGY CORRIDOR )  
PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL )  
IMPACT STATEMENT. )

ORIGINAL

---

PUBLIC HEARING - AFTERNOON SESSION

---

Heard at the Elkhorn Conference Room  
Holiday Inn Downtown  
22 North Last Chance Gulch  
Helena, Montana  
October 27, 2005  
2:00 p.m.

LAURIE CRUTCHER, RPR  
Lesofski & Walstad Court Reporting  
21 North Last Chance Gulch, Suite 201, Placer Center  
Helena, Montana 59601 (406) 443-2010

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

WEST-WIDE ENERGY CORRIDOR )  
PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL )  
IMPACT STATEMENT. )

PUBLIC HEARING - AFTERNOON SESSION

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the proceedings in the above-captioned matter was heard at the Elkhorn Conference Room, Holiday Inn Downtown, 22 North Last Chance Gulch, Helena, Montana, on the 27th day of October, 2005, beginning at the hour of 2:00 p.m., pursuant to the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure, before Laurie Crutcher, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public.

\* \* \* \* \*



1 above, and about 2,000 miles of natural gas  
2 transmission in Montana. So we're a significant  
3 player in the transmission game in the Montana  
4 area. We anticipate submitting written remarks as  
5 well as my oral remarks today.

6 Needs for the state of Montana, the way  
7 we see them, is that right now we have over 2200  
8 megawatts of generation in our generation  
9 interconnection queue, and almost all of our  
10 transaction is committed today to existing  
11 resources. And so if new resources are added to  
12 the state of Montana, we're going to be experts  
13 somewhere. And so hence the need for corridors  
14 for more transmission out of Montana to meet the  
15 loads in the rest of the west.

16 Also our system is stability limited,  
17 which means when we lose a line, our response to  
18 that loss is very significant because we can lose  
19 load if we aren't careful. And the areas in which  
20 generation is planned to be located, in eastern  
21 Montana, we're looking at coal and wind, mostly  
22 coal development in this area; some coal up in the  
23 Great Falls area; and a lot of wind in central  
24 Montana.

25 And there are other transmission

1 providers in the state of Montana area also,  
2 Western Montana Power Administration, and the BPA  
3 and they also have generation interconnection  
4 requests on their systems. Up in the Glasgow  
5 area, for instance, there is about 500 megawatts  
6 of proposed wind generation in that area.

7           So you can see there is a significant  
8 need for new transmission in Montana, new  
9 corridors to meet those needs.

10           Some of the things we think we need to  
11 consider as we develop these corridors, one is  
12 compatible uses, what uses can we put within the  
13 different corridors, and to make sure that they go  
14 along with each other; and also make sure we don't  
15 rely too much on any one corridor, because of our  
16 reliability criteria here in the west. If we have  
17 more than one transmission line in a corridor, we  
18 have to look out for common mode losses of that  
19 transmission, and what effect that has on the  
20 ability to lose power in the state.

21           But with that, we also think corridors  
22 should be wide enough to handle multiple  
23 facilities. We realize how difficult it is to get  
24 facilities through Montana, and that places where  
25 we can build transmission are very limited,

1 because we have to use mountain passes to get  
2 through the mountains, and we have to look at  
3 other impediments to transmission.

4           There needs to be flexibility in  
5 corridors by designation. By flexibility, we mean  
6 not be so hard on having exactly one place. We  
7 have to be able to match up with jurisdiction  
8 changes, places like BLM, Forest Service, or State  
9 Lands, or private land. And we have to be able to  
10 coordinate all those corridors across those  
11 different pieces of land, so they match up into  
12 one consolidated corridor.

13           Also we should meet with state  
14 regulations, reporting with the Montana Facilities  
15 Siting Act, for instance. We also need to be  
16 sensitive to adjoining private property  
17 constraints, such as conservation easements, and  
18 visual impacts that might occur for private lands  
19 as we look at corridors on federal property.

20           We need to develop a streamlined process  
21 for facilities within designated corridors, so we  
22 don't have to go through a long EIS process we  
23 have to go through today, and hopefully go through  
24 a much shorter one, as Scott mentioned earlier in  
25 his comments at the starting of the meeting.

1           We expect this process to be an ongoing  
2 process, not just a one shot process such as we're  
3 going through today, but an ongoing process, and  
4 we expect we'll hopefully have the departments  
5 develop a process where we can add new corridors,  
6 and modify new corridors as the needs arise. As  
7 we move along in the future here, system  
8 requirements are going to change and system needs.  
9 Local growth may occur we don't expect. We need  
10 to be able to add new corridors.

11           Also the Act itself anticipates this  
12 will be an ongoing effort by federal agencies.  
13 Section 368(c) indicates that this will be an  
14 ongoing process, and work with utilities and other  
15 interested parties, and will be able to modify  
16 corridors and add new corridors. We expect this  
17 to be an ongoing process, and hopefully be a  
18 little more streamlined so we don't have to go  
19 through all of these public meetings, and we  
20 actually can have a process that we can work  
21 through.

22           The corridors we're talking about,  
23 hopefully selecting locations for corridors will  
24 help minimize the environmental impacts. We don't  
25 get away from them totally. We don't anticipate

1 that all of the corridors that we recommend will  
2 be utilized, because there are only going to be  
3 one or two projects that actually get built at any  
4 one time. So we'll only be using one corridor or  
5 several corridors together.

6 With that, I would like to talk about  
7 some of the corridors that we're doing. I'll go  
8 over by the map so I can read it. We will divide  
9 the transmission corridors we'd like to talk about  
10 into three groupings.

11 The first grouping are those corridors  
12 we really expect to develop, and we expect to  
13 develop them fairly soon.

14 The second grouping are ones that aren't  
15 as important to get developed today, but offer  
16 opportunities for the state of Montana to develop  
17 its resources; and they also include corridors  
18 that aren't necessary within our service  
19 territory, and so they may be developed by other  
20 parties.

21 The third set of corridors for electric  
22 transmission are those that have a lot of  
23 problems, a lot of environmental problems, and  
24 constraints with the land use. So as we move  
25 forward, that one will probably be the one least

1 likely to occur.

2           The first one I would like to talk about  
3 goes from the Townsend area, down through Dillon,  
4 all the way into Midpoint, Idaho, and this will  
5 help integrate new generation in Montana.

6           The second corridor is from Townsend,  
7 the same place. It goes over to Mill Creek over  
8 by Butte, and then south into Idaho.

9           The third one goes from Garrison, which  
10 is a BPA substation, located up just north of Deer  
11 Lodge by Garrison, Montana, and it comes down  
12 along this blue line, and then goes on into  
13 southeastern Idaho.

14           Another one is from Colstrip. There's a  
15 lot of generation being proposed in the Colstrip  
16 area. So we propose upgrading or adding new  
17 transmission from Colstrip all the way over to  
18 Garrison, which is the BPA sub, if that is needed.

19           Also looking in the Great Falls area for  
20 additional generation there, and so we're looking  
21 at Great Falls to Garrison, going along the  
22 existing 230 or 100 KV -- the 100 KV runs down  
23 through here, this red line -- and cross over to  
24 Garrison.

25           Another option would be to follow the

1 corridor for the existing 230 KV line over to the  
2 Ovando area, and going from Ovando back down into  
3 Garrison.

4 Also we're looking at how to get to  
5 Townsend from Great Falls. One possibility is to  
6 go down along the existing 230/100 KV corridor,  
7 and coming through the Helena valley over towards  
8 Townsend, which is south of Canyon Ferry.

9 Another option is to go along this  
10 corridor between Broadview and Great Falls, then  
11 drop down into Townsend just east of the Belt  
12 Mountains.

13 Our second tier, these are the ones that  
14 offer opportunities, but may not be developed the  
15 soonest. One is from Colstrip, going down to the  
16 Wyoming area. And this is a tie-in to some  
17 transmission projects that are occurring in  
18 Wyoming. One of those projects is from Wyoming  
19 down into Colorado. Another one is a Frontier  
20 project that you've probably heard about. They're  
21 planning to built transmission lines out of  
22 Wyoming to move about 12,000 megawatts to  
23 California.

24 Another one is one that goes from west  
25 of Billings, a substation we call Baseline, which

1 goes between Billings and Laurel, that goes down  
2 into northern Wyoming near a place called Frannie,  
3 right on the Montana/Wyoming border.

4 Also going north from Great Falls up  
5 towards Shelby, we expect that corridor to be  
6 developed. This is on the Montana/Alberta  
7 transmission line, and looking at a corridor right  
8 along through here for their transmission.

9 Northern Lights is looking at a corridor that goes  
10 through this blue line here.

11 We also looking at the possibility of a  
12 500 KV line that goes from Broadview, which is  
13 near Billings, up through Great Falls, and then  
14 goes over to Spokane. Where this line is  
15 currently drawn, and it says, "Rocky Mountain area  
16 transmission line," it won't get built here, or  
17 even recommended for this area. It goes right  
18 through the Bob Marshall Wilderness. We expect  
19 that line to go more along this line here that  
20 we've added, following red line up here to Hot  
21 Springs.

22 Then the last corridor is this one that  
23 goes from Ovando, over to Hot Springs, over to  
24 Spokane. And even if we were going to go down  
25 here and go through the Missoula area, is another

1 possible corridor for this area. There's a lot of  
2 land use constraints through here that are going  
3 to probably keep anything from getting built here  
4 in the near term.

5 And so what we view at Northwestern, the  
6 most likely corridors for transmission expansion  
7 are those that go south into Idaho, down through  
8 this one here, also going from southeastern  
9 Montana into Wyoming, are the most likely  
10 corridors for development in Montana.

11 I've not talked about any corridors  
12 going east out of Montana, and the main reason for  
13 that is when it gets into the Dakotas, they have  
14 the same transmission problems we have in getting  
15 out of Montana. They have constrained  
16 transmission. It's going to take a lot of  
17 transmission to get into the Twin Cities, which is  
18 really the load for that generation.

19 Other transmission projects, one thing I  
20 was asked to mention. These little dots along the  
21 border, those are entry points into the US from  
22 Canada. It's important that we keep consideration  
23 for corridors to those points, because there's a  
24 lot of generation development occurring in Alberta  
25 that wants to come into the US, and we need to

1 keep those options open for all of us.

2 And I did say that we're also a gas  
3 pipeline company, and this is a map showing our  
4 gas system. And what we plan to do in the future,  
5 as need for capacity in our transmission  
6 increases, is to parallel the existing gas  
7 transmission line, or what we call loop service,  
8 where we build ten, fifteen, twenty miles of line  
9 to relieve a bottleneck along the transmission  
10 line.

11 What we do is we put another gas  
12 transmission about 40 feet or so away from the  
13 current existing transmission line. It requires a  
14 wider corridor than what we currently have, we  
15 expect in the future to be expanding those  
16 corridors through Montana, so we would like to  
17 have those considered, because a lot of our  
18 pipeline is on federal land.

19 That concludes my comments.

20 MR. POWERS: Thank you, Ray. We have a  
21 member of the Montana House of Representatives  
22 here, Mr. Allen Olson, and I was wondering if you  
23 would like say anything, Mr. Olson.

24 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: He just stepped out to  
25 move his car. He'll be back.

1           MR. POWERS: A couple things that I  
2 forgot to mention. I did briefly touch on the  
3 website. It's up and running, it's current, it's  
4 going to stay current throughout this process.  
5 It's the best source of easy access information.

6           I want to just tell you briefly about  
7 the source of the map, because I don't want you to  
8 think it's something that it's not. All it  
9 represents are lines on a map that have been put  
10 there over the years as an expression of interest  
11 by a whole host of the utility folks around the  
12 west. And actually it was used for awhile by the  
13 Western Utility Group just to kind of raise the  
14 level of interest in this project, and express the  
15 need.

16           So with that, since we're waiting for  
17 Mr. Olson, we'll go ahead with the next person,  
18 Linda Bouck.

MT02

19           MS. BOUCK: My name is Linda Bouck, and  
20 I am here today on behalf of Anaconda/Deer Lodge  
21 County. I would first like to thank the  
22 Department of Energy, the Forest Service, and the  
23 Bureau of Land Management, as co-lead agencies for  
24 hosting this meeting and starting the process of  
25 compiling information necessary for designation of

