## **UIO1-**UT07

PUBLIC MEETING FOR PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT,
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH
-00000-
HELD BY: HELD BY: HELD BY: HELD BY: HEETING DATE: Cotober 26, 2005 MEETING TIME: 2:00 p.m.
Bureau of Land Management : U.S. Department of Energy U.S. Forest Service
MODERATOR:
Scott Powers, BLM
-00000-

## ORIGINAL

**Reporters, Inc.** 10 West 100 South, Suite 250. Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 (801) 746-5080 phone (801) 746-5083 fax. 1-866-310-DEPO www.reportersinc net



UTO1

11

1 restate your name and who you're representing. Thank 2 you. MR. FISHER: He's right, I'm Rand Fisher, 3 4 Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Quality. And several things that we're concerned 14:19:52 5 with pipelines is that there may be a great deal of 6 7 disturbance to the natural environment and we'd like to 8 minimize the disruption of the negative impacts on the 9 environment for putting in roadways and pipelines and 10 well pads, and from the salt loading that may occur from 14:20:07 11 hydrocarbon development in these transportation 12 corridors as well. 13 Several things that we'd like to consider 14 and we're concerned about. The overall thing is that 15 14:20:23 we'd like these to minimize the hydrologic destruction. 16 Minimize the hydrologic modifications so that things are 17 not changed. We want to reduce runoff, we want to 18 reduce erosion, we want to reduce pollutant loading. 19 And particularly, the way that this can 20 happen is, as with any involvement, whether it's roads 14:20:39 21 or well pads or any other things that are going on, we 22 want to minimize the scraping of the land and 23 disturbance, the removal of vegetation, because that is 24 problematic. You get more erosion, you get more 25 pollutant loading from that. 14:20:54

	1	We'd also like to minimize the soil
	2	compaction because with that you get more runoff which
	3	results in flashier streams which causes more erosion in
	4	the stream itself. We'd like to minimize the vegetative
14:21:09	5	removal, minimize the clearing of the land as much as
	6	possible so that there's more water absorbing into the
	7	ground so we have a more natural vegetative cycle or
	8	hydrologic cycle.
	9	We'd encourage in developing rules and
14:21:19	10	guidelines for this for the offices to encourage or
	11	require that those who put in the pipelines review and
	12	adopt statements or low impact development, which are
	13	generally designed for urban development, but the base
	14	and fundamental concept in low impact development is to
14:21:38	15	minimize the hydrologic disruption such that rainfall
	16	soaks into the ground as close to where the raindrop
	17	hits as possible. So we have less flashiness, less ups
	18	and downs in our steam flow, less erosion, less
	19	pollutant loading.
14:21:50	20	Several things that I would recommend or
	21	advise or hope that might be adopted in that, one of the
	22	primary things is one that the Price BLM office already
	23	has adopted and is using on their district, and that is
	24	the hydrologic modification standards for roads which
14:22:09	25	are from the Price sub-BLM office. And I would strongly

recommend, advise, and hope that those would be adopted 1 2 and implement throughout the whole multiple states that these corridors go through, because these do reduce the 3 erosion from roads, they reduce the salt loading that 4 5 comes from the roads that are put in. 14:22:23 6 And it's also been the experience of people 7 in the Price office that the companies that put these roads in, while they cost a little bit more to put in, 8 9 they very shortly find they like them much more because they can get into their site even in snowy or wet 14:22:34 10 11 weather, and it actually saves them money in the long 12 run, which makes it much more useful, while reducing the 13 pollutant loading and runoff and erosion that occurs 14 there. So I strongly advise and hope that these Price 14:22:51 15 field office hydrological modifications on roads would 16 be adopted throughout the whole interstate process 17 that's going on there. 18 In our office, we've developed some other guidelines and recommendations we hope will be 19 considered. We'll submit these electronically later on, 14:23:05 20 but we would propose some requirements for the 21 22 pipelines, hydrocarbon pipelines, to be put in. I've 23 reviewed some pipelines that were put in, particularly in the Price office and Nine Mile Canyon area, and 24 observed multiple problems with those pipelines because 14:23:23 25

14

1 there's been extensive disturbance and removal of 2 vegetation. The field that's been put back on has not 3 been put properly, and it's been soft soiled with no 4 vegetative cover on steep slopes and there's been 5 extensive erosion.

14:23:36

6 Some very serious problems have occurred 7 where they put the pipelines across and underneath 8 And in some cases, the whole cover had some streams. off and the pipeline has floated up and damaged a great 9 10 deal of the environment with a whole lot of pollutant 14:23:50 11 loading and sediment loading in there, and had the 12 threat of possibly damaging or breaking the pipeline. 13 So we'd recommend you make some specific requirements, particularly regarding to stream crossing, that those be 14 15 done in a very careful and precise manner so that we 14:24:01 16 have long term safety and lower costs on the long term, 17 so they don't have to go and re-put those pipelines in 18 after there's been erosion and damage going on there.

19 We'd like as a standard for pipelines and 14:24:16 20 for other things that go in and for the roads that go 21 in -- basically, the basic standard should be that the 22 construction put in such that any erosion from that does not exceed the tolerable level that is established by 23 24 the U.S. Department of Agriculture and its Resource Conservation Service. That is called a T-level. 14:24:32 25 An

1 erosion should not exceed T.

	2	Now that varies with the slope and the type
	3	of soil that's on there, and any local county office of
	4	the NRCS can tell you what T should be for a particular
14:24:45	5	soil and type. And we think that the roads and the well
	6	pads and any construction or modification that goes on
	7	should be designed, and as quickly as possible,
	8	management measures implemented to reduce that erosion
	9	so that it does not exceed the tolerable rates, so we
14:25:03	10	don't get gullies, so we don't get pollutant loading in
	11	the streams.
	12	And there's many ways we can do that,
	13	primarily, vegetative. By putting vegetation in the
	14	ground, you stop that erosion, but there's also
14:25:11	15	structural measures, particularly on slopes or in areas
	16	where you don't have vegetation growing, there's
	17	structural measures the can occur so that we don't have
	18	that erosion exceeding T.
	19	So those are the basic things that our
14:25:21	20	office would like to propose, is that we adopt the road
	21	standards, that we will consider the hydrocarbon
	22	pipeline standards such and the road standards such
	23	that we do not exceed the tolerable erosion rates that
	24	are standard by USDA and RCS.
14:25:38	25	MR. POWERS: Thank you, Mr. Fisher. I

	1	neglected to say a couple of important points, so I'll
	2	introduce those now. We're going to have a summary
	3	scoping report available to the public in January of all
	4	the input received here during the 60-day comment
14:25:56	5	period. And the website is active right now and it is
	6	the best source of information and it will be the best
	7	source of information on an ongoing basis. So we'd
	8	encourage you to take a look at that. $UT02$
	9	So, Dell Draper with Williams.
14:26:16	10	MR. DRAPER: Dell Draper with Williams
	11	Companies. I manage the companies' affairs in the
	12	western United States. Williams is a natural gas
	13	company. We produce, gather and process, and transport
	14	natural gas. We own the northwest pipeline,
14:26:40	15	transportation pipeline, which runs from Northern New
	16	Mexico up to the base of the Rockies and takes it up to
	17	the markets in the Pacific Northwest. We also have
	18	seven thousand miles of gathering lines in the states of
	19	Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico. None in the Price
14:27:02	20	area, for the benefit of the former speaker.
	21	Williams is a smaller company to date than
	22	it was five years ago. Five years ago we had additional
	23	pipelines that totaled 65,000 miles and we also had a
	24	26,000 mile fiberoptic network. The fiberoptic network
14:27:20	25	was a bad bet and caused us to sell a lot of our assets,

Γ

## DEIRDRE RAND, CCR, RPR