

APPENDIX L:

**PROPOSED ENERGY CORRIDORS THAT WOULD REQUIRE CONSULTATION
WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DURING PROJECT PLANNING**

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX L:**PROPOSED ENERGY CORRIDORS THAT WOULD REQUIRE CONSULTATION
WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DURING PROJECT PLANNING**

Many of the proposed energy corridors that would be designated under the Proposed Action would intersect or occur near military training routes (MTRs) and special use areas (SUAs) where low-altitude military aircraft flights may regularly occur. In addition, some corridor segments would intersect Department of Defense (DOD) facilities, such as Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, or the Nellis Small Arms

Range, Nevada. Proposed corridors intersecting or near MTRs, SUAs, or DOD facilities are highlighted in red in Figure L-1 and listed in Table L-1. Project applicants proposing to develop an energy transport project within any of these corridors should consult with DOD during project planning to ensure that the project design does not conflict with DOD training activities.



FIGURE L-1 Proposed Energy Corridors That Would Require DOD Coordination during Project Planning

TABLE L-1 Proposed Energy Corridors That Would Require DOD Coordination during Project Planning^a

| State | Corridor | Military Training Route with Floor <1,000 ft above Ground Level | Special Use Airspace with Floor <1000 ft above Ground Level | Within 5 Miles of DOD Site |
|------------|----------|---|---|----------------------------|
| Arizona | 30-52 | X | | |
| | 41-46 | X | | |
| | 46-269 | X | | |
| | 46-270 | X | | |
| | 61-207 | X | | |
| | 62-211 | X | | |
| | 68-116 | X | | |
| | 113-116 | X | | |
| | 115-208 | X | | |
| | 115-238 | X | | X |
| | 116-206 | X | | |
| | 234-235 | X | | |
| | | | | |
| California | 3-8 | X | | |
| | 6-15 | X | | |
| | 8-104 | X | | |
| | 15-104 | X | | X |
| | 16-104 | X | | |
| | 18-23 | X | X | X |
| | 23-25 | X | X | X |
| | 23-106 | X | X | X |
| | 27-41 | X | X | X |
| | 27-225 | X | X | X |
| | 27-266 | | | X |
| | 30-52 | X | | X |
| | 101-263 | X | | |
| | 108-267 | X | | |
| | 115-238 | X | | X |
| | 261-262 | X | | |
| | 264-265 | X | | |
| Colorado | 87-277 | X | | |
| Idaho | 29-36 | | | X |
| | 36-228 | | X | X |
| Nevada | 49-112 | X | | |
| | 15-17 | X | | |
| | 15-104 | X | | |
| | 16-104 | X | | |
| | 16-17 | X | | |
| | 16-24 | X | | |
| | 17-18 | X | X | X |
| | 17-35 | X | | |
| | 18-23 | X | | X |
| | 18-224 | X | X | X |
| | 35-111 | X | | |

TABLE L-1 (Cont.)

| State | Corridor | Military Training Route with Floor <1,000 ft above Ground Level | Special Use Airspace with Floor <1000 ft above Ground Level | Within 5 Miles of DOD Site |
|------------|-------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| | 37-223 (N) | | | X |
| | 37-223 (S) | | | X |
| | 37-232 | | X | X |
| | 37-39 | | | X |
| | 39-113 | X | | |
| | 39-231 | | | X |
| | 43-44 | X | X | |
| | 43-111 | X | | |
| | 44-110 | X | | |
| | 44-239 | X | X | X |
| | 110-114 | X | | |
| | 110-233 | X | X | |
| | 111-226 | X | | |
| | 113-114 | X | | |
| | 113-116 | X | | |
| | 223-224 | X | X | X |
| | 232-233 (E) | X | X | |
| | 232-233 (W) | X | X | |
| New Mexico | 80-273 | X | | |
| | 81-213 | X | | |
| | 81-272 | X | X | X |
| | 89-271 | X | X | |
| Oregon | 4-247 | X | | X |
| | 7-11 | X | | |
| | 7-24 | X | | |
| | 11-228 | X | X | |
| | 16-24 | X | | |
| | 24-228 | X | | |
| | 230-248 | X | | |
| | 250-251 | X | | |
| Utah | 44-239 | X | | X |
| | 66-212 | X | X | X |
| | 110-114 | X | | |
| | 113-114 | X | | |
| | 114-241 | X | X | X |
| | 116-206 | X | | |
| | 256-257 | | | X |
| Washington | 102-105 | X | | |
| | 244-245 | X | | |
| Wyoming | 78-85 | X | | |
| | 79-216 | X | | |

^a Shaded text indicates portions of the document that underwent revision between the draft and the final PEIS in response to comments received during the public comment period as well as additional information provided by local federal land managers and resource specialists.