Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50000

Date: Monday, November 12, 2007 8:06:35 AM

Thank you for your comment, Kevin Young.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50000. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 12, 2007 08:11:03AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50000

First Name: Kevin Middle Initial: A Last Name: Young

Address: City: State: WA Zip:

Country: USA

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

If you were progressive in seeking to drill in Alaska or any other field, in implementing and clearing the way for new refineries, or doing something tangiable on Federal lands, I would be for the project. The taking of lands so that they are unusable to the public is not to be the focus of Government. Please stop this process and do something good with the tax dollars, like closing our pourus borders.

50000-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50001

Date: Wednesday, November 14, 2007 3:38:12 PM

Thank you for your comment, Chris Munhall.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50001. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 14, 2007 03:42:41PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50001

First Name: Chris Middle Initial: K Last Name: Munhall Organization: Clark Co

Organization: Clark County

Address: 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89155 Country: USA

Email: chrismun@co.clark.nv.us

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

BLM, in their Southern Nevada Resource Management Plan, has designated a utility corridor in northwest Clark County, a portion of which runs through the south end of the community of Indian Springs. That corridor is currently limited to an older, wooden Nevada Power line. Recently, Valley Electric Association of Pahrump made application to run a transmission line from the nortwest end of Las Vegas to Sterling Mountain. As part of their EIS, an alternate right-of-way outside the BLM-designated corridor was determined to be most suitable. BLM concurred and approved the alternate right-of-way, on the south side of Grandpa Mountain, south of the Indian Springs community. BLM is now considering amending its Resource Management Plan to designate this alternate right-of-way as the official utility corridor. This action is supported by Clark County and the Indian Springs Town Board. The current utility corridor through Indian Springs, if developed fully, would have an extremely detrimental impct on

50001-001

this small rural community. We ask that Western States work with BLM and, to the extent that you seek to designate a utility corridor in northwest Clark County, you look at the Valley Electric right-of-way that was recently approved.

50001-001 (cont.)

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50002

Date: Friday, November 16, 2007 11:12:02 AM

Thank you for your comment, Kevin Emmerich.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50002. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 16, 2007 11:16:29AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50002

First Name: Kevin Middle Initial: R Last Name: Emmerich Address: P.O. Box 70

City: Beatty State: NV Zip: 89003 Country: USA

Email: atomictoadranch@netzero.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

The proposed corridors do not have any alternatives. There should be

Comment Submitted:

alternatives.

The proposed corridors are too close to the Desert National Wildlife Refuge in
Nevada, The Mojave National Preserve in California and rip through some of the
most scenic canyon country in southern Utah. There are not enough

considerations on how activities would disrupt and damage endangered species,

scenic views and wilderness quality.

A new EIS should be written to consider the above environmental concerns. This is being rushed through too fast. Do not compromise our public lands as a

special favor to the energy companies.

50002-001

50002-002

50002-003

Thank you.

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50003

Date: Sunday, November 18, 2007 7:57:02 PM

Thank you for your comment, Lori Colt.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50003. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 18, 2007 08:01:34PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50003

First Name: Lori Last Name: Colt

Address: City: State: NM Zip:

Country: USA

Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

Hello, Thank you for considering the comments of your average taxpayer. I'd just like to say that I am wholly in favor of seriously developing alternative energies now for our nation and would not support the further funding of 20th century technologies which we know are both limited, toxic and soon obsolete. I do not support this energy corridor and do not want any of my tax dollars to fund the further destruction of public lands.

50003-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50004

Date: Monday, November 19, 2007 4:39:05 PM

Thank you for your comment, Lynn Sikkink.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50004. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 19, 2007 04:43:47PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50004

First Name: Lynn Last Name: Sikkink Address: 400 N.Colorado

City: Gunnison State: CO Zip: 81230 Country: USA

Email: lsikkink@western.edu

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Why are federal agencies spending millions (billions?) to accomodate more carbon intensive industries when it is becoming increasingly obvious that we are likely to destroy ourselves vis-a-vis global warming?

Are all the agency heads crazy? Please try to understand science before you put forth projects that will make our children's lives unbearable.

You must choose the no-action alternative to this asinine proposal.

Dr. Lynn Sikkink Western State College

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

50004-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50005

Date: Tuesday, November 20, 2007 9:06:19 AM

Thank you for your comment, Dave Hodges.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50005. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 20, 2007 09:11:02AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50005

First Name: Dave Last Name: Hodges Organization: KBSZ Radio Address: po box 38 City: Wittmann

State: AZ Zip: 85361 Country: USA

Email: thecommonsenseshow@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The process is entirely unconstitutional. One needs to look no further than the trans-Texas corridor and the abuses being perpetrated upon the good people of Texas of which devoting an entire show on this Sunday. The EPA violations are too numerous to mention. The destruction of scenic sites are commonplace. Most disturbingly, the eminent domain abuses are anti-AMerican. For example, I refer you to the 91 day "quick take" provision. turning tax payer funded roads into toll roads so the profits can go to foreign entities (eg King Juan Carlos and Cintra) represents treason to the American people. The non-compete clauses of using tax payer money to improve neighboring roads so that Americans can avoid using toll roads, is treasonous to the American people as well. Hundreds of thousands of prime Texas farm land is being destroyed in the name of increased corporate, and sometimes, foreign, profits. As I long as I have breath, I will fight against the sell out of the American people from these international corridors. Americans are beginning to wake up to this abuse. I would suggest that you stop it now.

50005-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50006

Date: Tuesday, November 20, 2007 12:30:16 PM

Thank you for your comment, Brian Picken.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50006. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 20, 2007 12:34:53PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50006

First Name: Brian Middle Initial: C Last Name: Picken

Organization: Northern Owens Valley Scenic Corridor

Address: P.O. Box 3672

City: Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

State: CA Zip: 93546 Country: USA

Email: rbpicken@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

We oppose these corridors on the grounds that

we oppose these contaons on the grounds that.	_
1. Energy should be generated loacally not far from urban centers (Nuclear	50006-001
Power)	20000 001
2. Any new transmission lines should be put under ground so as not to destroy	
view sheds.	50006-002
3. If transmission lines must be above ground they should be attached to	30000-002
existing towers or at least follow existing right of ways.	
4. Corridors should avoid one of a kind scenic areas such as the Owens Valley	
framed by Sierra Nevada and White Mts	50006-003
5. Areas that could be considered as Wilderness areas or National Parks should	30000-003
be avoided at all costs.	
6. Corridors should be put underground following major interstates such as 15,	50006-004
10, 5 - not scenic HWYS.	30000-004

7. Private land should not be confiscated for this crazy project.

50006-005

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50007

Date: Tuesday, November 20, 2007 6:26:18 PM

Thank you for your comment, Brian Picken.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50007. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 20, 2007 06:30:55PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50007

First Name: Brian Middle Initial: c Last Name: Picken

Organization: Northern Owens Valley Scenic Corridor

Address: P.O. Box 3672

City: Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

State: CA Zip: 93546 Country: USA

Email: rbpicken@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

permanent protection of California's natural and scenic resources is of paramont concern to present and future residents of the State of California and the Nation.

Destroying view shed, open space, and other natural resources does not seem in the best interest of the people.

These resources should be protected just as the Calif. coast line is protected from development that mars it's natural beauty.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

50007-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50008

Date: Friday, November 23, 2007 8:43:51 PM

Thank you for your comment, stacy jourdain.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50008. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 23, 2007 08:48:36PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50008

First Name: stacy Last Name: jourdain Address: hc 64 box 71

City: fredonia State: AZ Zip: 86022 Country: USA

Email: jourdain@fredonia.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Leave the roads alone , do not shut down any more roads. We are sick and tired of this idiocy Let us enjoy the outdoors as we have now

50008-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50009

Date: Friday, November 23, 2007 8:50:39 PM

Thank you for your comment, dallin jourdain.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50009. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 23, 2007 08:55:24PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50009

First Name: dallin Last Name: jourdain

Address: City: State: AZ Zip:

Country: USA

Email:

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I am a who loves the outdoors and the four-wheeling experience, leave the roads alone . Do not shut down any roads. I have respect for the outdoors I do not take my ATV off-road I stay on the established existing roads.

50009-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50010

Date: Friday, November 23, 2007 8:54:57 PM

Thank you for your comment, dallin jourdain.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50010. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 23, 2007 08:59:39PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50010

First Name: dallin Last Name: jourdain Address: hc 64 box 71

City: fredonia State: AZ Zip: 86022 Country: USA

Email:

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

This is my second comment: I forgot to include that I have logged over 6500 miles in the last six years of riding all over S.utah on my ATV.

I started riding when I was six Please do not shut down any roads

50010-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50012

Date: Saturday, November 24, 2007 9:05:49 AM

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50012. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 24, 2007 09:10:28AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50012

First Name: Middle Initial: Last Name: Address: City: State: UT Zip:

Country: USA

Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please do not take away our opportunity to get out and see southern Utah. My wife and I both have Disabilities, I am on Dialysis and have been fighting kidney disease for 20 years; She has Asthma and is unable to get out and hike. we purchased a Rhino UTV last spring and have not been out as much as we would have liked do to health issues but when we have it has again opened our eyes to the beauty of our southern Utah. we would not have been able to see Molly's Nipple up close. Just many areas we have been unable to go do to our health. I feel if folks will continue to stay on approved pathways and roads ATV-UTV travel should continue... we know many elder couples in our community that are in the same circumstances as ourselves and they have such a great time on their weekly rides to our beautiful sites here in southern utah... Please leave the issue alone and allow us to continue our quest to see all the beauty around us.

50012-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50013

Date: Saturday, November 24, 2007 1:38:45 PM

Thank you for your comment, Hartman Daniels.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50013. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 24, 2007 01:43:28PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50013

First Name: Hartman Last Name: Daniels

City: Kanab State: UT Zip: 84741 Country: USA

Email: iamok721@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

It is our right to have access to roads that have been there for years, yet the Gov. and B.L.M.will not be satisfied till they take all of them away from us.We need to stop this land grab and leave the roads open.

50013-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50014

Date: Sunday, November 25, 2007 6:28:22 AM

Thank you for your comment, Wayne Olsen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50014. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 25, 2007 06:33:13AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50014

First Name: Wayne Last Name: Olsen

State: UT Zip:

Country: USA

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please do not take away our rights to ride OHV vehicles in Southern Utah!

50014-001

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50016

Date: Thursday, November 29, 2007 5:00:49 PM

Thank you for your comment, Austin Puglisi.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50016. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: November 29, 2007 05:05:42PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50016

First Name: Austin Last Name: Puglisi

Address: Address 2: State: CA Zip:

Country: USA

Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

My comments pertain ONLY to the Mohave desert section of the map, as that is where I live. I heartily approve of these corridors, and hope that projects OUTSIDE these corridors can be discouraged (such as LADWP's "green path" through two nature preserves). I think the routes do an admirable job of avoiding pristine areas in nee of protection.

50016-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Monday, December 03, 2007 9:48 PM Sent:

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50017

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50017. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 3, 2007 09:47:41PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50017

First Name: Middle Initial: Last Name: Organization: CTVA Address: City: State: MT Zip: Country: USA

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:

We strongly support the use of our public lands for all multiple-uses including energy corridors. All of the land proposed for energy corridors has been designated for multipleuses by Congress. To not use it for multipleuses would be against the direction given by Congress. Management of these lands for multiple-uses is also in the best interest of the public and best addresses the public's need for reasonable energy sources and conveyances.

50017-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov

Wednesday, December 05, 2007 11:29 PM Sent:

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50018

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50018. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 5, 2007 11:29:00PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50018

First Name: Middle Initial: Last Name: Address: City: State: CA Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:

This project should not proceed using the currently planned routes. Big Morongo Canyon is a wilderness preserve! Pioneer Town offers pristine desert views. Why should the residents of these towns be forced to suffer living with high-voltage transmission lines that offer no benefits to them? Please research the health hazards of living near high-voltage lines. It isn't fair to the residents of San Bernardino County to have to pay, pay with their own lives, all for the benefit of LA County.

50018-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2007 11:59 AM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50019

Thank you for your comment, Francis Auld.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50019. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 11, 2007 11:59:06AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50019

First Name: Francis
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Auld
Organization: Salish and Kootenai Tribes
Address: P.O. Box 278
City: Pablo
State: MT
Zip: 59855
Country: USA

Email: francisa@cskt.org Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Sir/Madam

Thank you for this oppertunity to send in my comment.

Cultural resources are the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes' most precious resources. These resources represent the identity of Tribal ancestry, history and customs — which we are today. Traditional languages, songs, dances, prayers, ceremonies, hunting, hide-tanning, beading, fishing, plant gathering, food and medicine preparation, feasts, story-telling — all are still being done today. Cultural sites, which occur throughout the Reservation and aboriginal territories, are further evidence of these histories and customs. Protection of these irreplaceable resources is essential for sustaining the cultures of the Salish and Kootenai peoples.

We ask that a complete cultural resource inventory to place in accordance with Section 106-National Historic Preservation Act. Thank you for your time to this important matter.

Sincerely, Francis Auld

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

50019-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Saturday, December 15, 2007 9:12 AM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50020 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50020. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 15, 2007 09:12:01AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50020

First Name: Middle Initial: Last Name: Address: City: State: NV Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The Maps are not specific enough and do not have sufficient detail for me to understand the proximity of the proposed corridor to my areas of interest. Can there be more detailed maps made available to allow those of us who are interested a way to evaluate the proposal?

50020-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov

Sent: Wednesday, December 19, 2007 3:08 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50021

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50021. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 19, 2007 03:07:37PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50021

First Name: Last Name: State: OR Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:

We do not need a energy corridor that impacts on wilderness areas. I am certain there must be a route that avoids all proposed and designated wilderness. I also strongly believe this energy corridor is a temporary solution to a more serious problem that requires adoption of alternative energy sources in order to reduce or eliminate the need for new energy corridors.

50021-001

corridore isweb master@anl.gov From:

Thursday, December 20, 2007 1:39 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives; corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50023

Timescape_In_Conflict_WWECD50023.doc Attachments:



Timescape_In_Conf

lict_WWECD500...
Thank you for your comment, Richard Stoffle.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50023. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 20, 2007 01:38:27PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50023

First Name: Richard Middle Initial: w Last Name: Stoffle

Organization: University of Arizona Address: 319 Anthropology Bldg Address 2: University of Arizona

City: Tucson State: AZ Zip: 85721 Country: USA

Email: rstoffle@u.arizon.edu

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Attachment: D:\My Documents\Papers\SIA Cumulative Impacts\Timescape In Conflict.doc

Comment Submitted:

Hi

I have been asked by some tribal members and leaders to submit this formal description of an Indian sacred site (a solar calendar) location along the Utah portion of one of the proposed energy corridors.

50023-001

Timescapes In Conflict: Cumulative Impacts to a Solar Calendar

A Manuscript Submitted to

Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal

The Journal of the Society

International Association For Impact Assessment

By

Richard Stoffle, Professor, University of Arizona Glen Rogers, Chairman, Shivwits Tribe, Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah Ferman Grayman, Member, Shivwits Tribe Gloria Bulletts Benson, Member, Kaibab Paiute Tribe Kathleen Van Vlack, Graduate Student, University of Arizona Jessica Savage, Student, University of Arizona

Point of Contact
Bureau of Applied Research in Anthropology
319 Anthropology Building
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

(520) 621-2462 (520) 621-9608 rstoffle@u.arizona.edu

Richard W. Stoffle Page 1 1/2/2008 1

Timescapes In Conflict: Cumulative Impacts to a Solar Calendar

Abstract

This paper contributes an analog case for assessing cumulative impacts. An American Indian Solar Calendar was identified in the first large-scale power line EIA in an isolated region of southern Utah in 1983. That study identified increased access as a potential adverse impact, but that the Solar Calendar would be best protected by a commitment of silence. During the next twentyfour years five utility projects were placed in this corridor. In 2006 an American Indian study team revisited the site and found it exposed to recreational visitors and partially damaged. Indian leaders chose to recommend in the new EIA mitigations to protect the Solar Calendar by restricting access and interpretative signs.

Keywords: Cumulative Impacts, American Indian Solar Calendar, Utility Corridors

This paper has not been published in print, nor is being submitted elsewhere

Richard W. Stoffle Page 2 1/2/2008 2

Timescapes are set in conflict when Social Impact Assessments choose short term costbenefit analysis over long term multigenerational analysis. According to Barbara Adam (1998) in her book Timescapes of Modernity, many of the most fundamental problems modern society faces are due to government decisions being made based on short time and spatial impacts assessments, when in fact fundamental long term time and spatial impacts are involved. Society permits these mistaken decisions because of a desire to rush into a concept of modernity that involves rapid change as a perceived requirement. When such decisions are proven to have been shortsighted, and in retrospect inappropriate, multiple future generations must bear the adverse environmental and social impacts. Often risks that are easily absorbed by the nation are shifted to local people who experience focused local risks. Extreme adverse impacts, like the destruction of a unique world balancing site (Stoffle et al. 2004), threaten the future and create what has been termed Risk Society (Beck 1992; 1995). Ontological insecurity (Giddens 1990: 131) is a term that describes a risk society condition where people come to fear an unknown future because social, economic, and environmental changes have undermined many culturally-based truths and beliefs that a few generations earlier had been taken for granted (Cebulla 2007). Assessing cumulative risks is very much about whether the future is knowable, given knowledge of the past.

This paper is about the cumulative impacts (Baxter, Ross, and Spaling 2001, Bérubé 2007; Lawe, Wells, and Mikisew Cree First Nations Industry Relations Corporation 2005; Morimoto and Hope 2004; Szuster and Flaherty 2002; Teigland 1999) that have happened to a Southern Paiute American Indian traditional Solar Calendar due to a federal decision to permit a high-voltage power line to open up a formerly isolated piñon forest in southern Utah. The power line corridor and its associated construction and access road would become, over a period of twenty-five years, a sacrifice zone in which five utility projects would be permitted. A sacred Solar Calendar located in this forest would not be protected because of a tribal desire and federal agency agreement to protect the Calendar with silence. In fact, because neither tribe nor federal agency could talk about the site, subsequent utility projects proceeded without knowledge of the site and each had cumulative adverse impacts on the Solar Calendar.

The paper is also about the problems of predicting the future, especially without access to analog cases where the outcomes of environmental decisions are known. This is a case where we can look back and see where assumptions were wrong or right. It thus contributes to the case analog foundation. This case is bracketed by two large-scale Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) and as such it holds out the possibility that the damage caused by errors of past decisions can be mitigated by future decisions. This is also a special case because raise of ultimate cumulative impact trajectories rarely anticipated when a project simply opens access to remote areas. Presently there is a U.S. national proposal to translate corridors that have grown a project at a time, into officially designated *energy corridors* where, more or less despite environmental impacts, new energy projects will be placed (WWEC PEIS 2007).

Methods

Indian people representing their tribes have been interviewed at the Solar Calendar and nearby sites twice in twenty-five years, both times as official tribal representatives in EIA projects. The first EIA, in 1983, involved the Intermountain-Adelanto Bipole I Transmission

Richard W. Stoffle Page 3 1/2/2008 3

Line of the Intermountain Power Project (IPP) (Stoffle, Dobyns, and Evans 1983). It was one of the first studies to formally consider impacts to American Indian cultural resources; the first one in the US to do this was the Devers Palo Verde power line (Bean and Vane 1978). The second EIA, in 2006, involved the impacts to American Indian communities traditionally located along the Old Spanish Trail (OST) (Stoffle et al 2007). Caravans of mules loaded with woven goods went from Santa Fe, New Mexico to Las Angeles, California between 1829 and 1849. Returning caravans were composed of as many as four thousand horses for sale in New Mexico. The OST was designated by Congress as the 15th National Historic Trail. The IPP EIA was directed by the Bureau of Land Management and the OST EIA was directed by the Trails Division of the National Park Service and the Bureau of Land Management.

The initial interviews at the Solar Calendar were conducted in 1983 during site visits on the IPP (Stoffle, Dobyns, and Evans 1983). The IPP study involved potential impacts of one 500KV electrical powerline and its associated access roads along more than 500 miles of study corridor ranging from Delta, Utah to a power station in the central Mohave Desert in California. Studies were divided by states where they occurred (Bean and Vane 1982, Stoffle and Dobyns 1982a, Stoffle and Dobyns 1982b, Stoffle, Dobyns and Evans 1983). The ethnographic study design involved participating tribal governments selecting representatives to visit portions of potentially impacted areas. A mail survey was sent to members of all five bands of the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah. There were 35 responses in the following categories - Kanosh/Koosharem (9), Indian Peaks/Cedar City (10), and Shivwits (16). The survey asked for written input on potential impacts to specific places along the IPP corridor. This present analysis is focused on interview responses made during on-site visits, but these are supplemented by insights sent in response to the 1983 mail survey.

During the 1983 study, three people were involved in the site visit to that portion of the IPP study corridor that passed near the Solar Calendar. Interviews were conducted with Dan Bulletts and Mary Snow, culturally experienced elders who have passed away since the study. A younger person, Ferman Grayman was also interviewed in 1983 and he returned during the OST project in the summer of 2006 to share his observations based on his 24-year perspective.

The 2006 OST study permitted further interviews at the Solar Calendar (Stoffle et al.) The OST interviews involved 5 Indian people, including the chairman of the Shivwits Indian Tribe, which is a part of the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah. This study is based on 43 interviews with Indian people when the interviews from 1983 and 2006 are totaled: these involve 8 formal interviews at this site and the 35 tribal members who responded to the mailed survey.

Hot Springs to Solar Calendar Pilgrimage

The headwaters of the *Tunakwint* River, know today as the Santa Clara River, begins high on the western flanks of the Pine Valley Mountain range in the state of Utah. This watershed is the focus of hundreds of special Paiute places where they conducted ceremonies and developed their second largest irrigated agricultural system. It is an area full of water and Puha (a kind of Creation energy), both deriving from the tall volcanic mountains and small lava flows that constitute the headwaters, the boundaries, and the topography of the *Tunakwint* River basin.

Richard W. Stoffle Page 4 1/2/2008 4

In the upper basin along the river is Veyo Hot Springs, which is produced by volcanic activity, and thus has great healing powers. It was a key point on a pilgrimage trail to the Solar Calendar located about ten miles downstream and situated up on a small bench across the *Tunakwint* River. The pilgrimage to the Solar Calendar began and ended with purification rituals at Veyo Hot Springs. The pilgrimage trail to the Solar Calendar is known to follow the river downstream to Miners Canyon, where it would totally correspond with the traditional river trail, and subsequently the OST. Ceremonies would occur at this location. Leaving the river, the trail turned west to pass up Limekiln Wash to Rods Springs, where further ceremonial activities would occur. Rods Springs was the last water source on the trail and so it would have been where water for offerings would be collected. From there the trail would climb onto and traverse the flat sedimentary bench for a few miles to the Solar Calendar. This pilgrimage trail, the prayers areas along it, and the two primary areas at either end constitute a major religious cultural landscape for Southern Paiute peoples and perhaps other related Numic speaking peoples.

A brief site description is provided here in order to properly contextualize the cultural importance of the Solar Calendar. This site description, the pilgrimage trail and Veyo Hot Springs are not described further here at the request of the Indian people. The Solar Calendar is located in a very small cave high up on the face of a tall red vertical sandstone outcrop. The place is dramatic, with the brick red sandstone standing up from a generally flat and grey sedimentary bench. The bench is covered with trees and a dozen or more Indian medicine plants. The pink sandy ground, probably produced from eroding sandstone, is covered and, when dug into with the fingers, filled with small chips of brightly colored stone. The climb onto the vertical sandstone is extremely steep and thus has a sharp drop-off to the ground below. Once on a high elevated shelf the cave can be climbed into. Immediately the cave is contrasted by a large flat polished stone which seems to almost fill the cave. The cave itself and the clean pink sand floor contrast sharply with the stone which as been totally smoothed to prepare a massive and apparently integrated pecking. At the entrance portion of the stone, grooves have been cut into the outer portion of the stone. The top of the cave has a v-shaped break just above the grooves. Light falls on the cuts at various times of the day and year making the stone a calendar and giving the cave its related calendar functions.

According to tribal representatives in 2006, the cave was a place for men to go by themselves as part of a pilgrimage. The *journeyman* (or pilgrim) carved pictures from their journey into the rock. The stories are all in a singular voice (there were no men or people carvings indicating other people's presence), and the carvings weren't intended for other people to understand. Only the *journeyman* would understand his own carvings because they represent his particular journey. The man would camp up in the cave and would bring an offering to the calendar rock. Since the calendar rock is alive, they brought it offerings that would sustain it. During the visit, tribal representatives left tobacco in front of the cave and they left an offering of water to feed its thirst. The need to bring offerings also made the *journeyman* show his worthiness in that it is difficult enough to make a pilgrimage there and then also have to climb up into the cave, but having to get an offering up there (such as water and offerings of plants) makes it a lot harder.

Richard W. Stoffle Page 5 1/2/2008 5

Tribal representatives in 1983 talked about ceremonial and agricultural functions for the Solar Calendar. As a clock, the stone was used to define agricultural and ceremonial cycles. Before the extensive depopulation of this area, a kind of sky shaman trained in astronomy, known as a Wu-ti-vi-ung-kunt — a prophet who consults stars, sun, moon — this is a name given to Powell in 1870s (Fowler and Fowler 1971: 160), would have been charged with the feeding and caring of the site This is a practice continued by the behaviors of the journeymen down to today.

The view from the cave is important because it looks out on the path leading up to the cave and on the sacred plants surrounding the path. It is still not good to talk much about the place because it is a serious place.

Potential Impacts 1983

The Veyo Hot Springs area and pilgrimage path from the Veyo Hot Springs to the Solar Calendar had been impacted by the OST travelers and their caravans. The impacts initially were to the hot springs where animals and people stopped, the water was drunk and grasses grazed, and caravans moved on. The pilgrimage trail from the hot springs down river to Miners Canyon and any shrines along it were probably damaged by the herds of animals. After up to 40 such visits from 1829 to 1849 the source impacts changed from animal caravans, to wagon caravans. The numbers of annual visits to this stretch increased exponentially from the two-times a year caravans during the OST period, to hundred even thousands of travelers passing through this area during the 1849 U.S. gold rush expansion to California. The impacts of these subsequent travelers on the Indian people were devastating with estimates of up to 90% of all near-trail Indian people dying by 1850 (Stoffle and Evans 1976; Stoffle, Jones, and Dobyns 1995).

It is a bit problematic to hold the Hispanic travelers responsible for producing the route traveled by the 49ers, but an argument can be made that the 49ers in fact took information derived from previous travelers on the OST and followed wherever wagons could traverse the route to California. In the instance of the upper Tunakwint River, the traditional Indian river trail became the OST, which became the 49er trail. Impact after impact occurred to the physical environment, the fauna and flora, the cultural resources, and to the Indian farming communities along this trail. The cumulative effects of the upper Tunakwint River trail were extensive and persistent. What else could happen?

In 1983 the area immediately surrounding the Veyo Hot Springs to Solar Calendar Cave was extremely rural. Most roads, excluding the paved road along the Tunakwint River, were poorly maintained dirt paths primarily traveled by local ranchers servicing their herds of cattle. The IPP proposal was a threat to this isolation. The IPP report brought forward many Indian concerns regarding this access issue (Stoffle, Dobyns, and Evans 1983: 118)

Currently, access to the cave is limited by the extremely poor 4-wheel drive dirt roads in the area. If the IPP proposal is approved for this area it will involve upgrading the local roads. The Shivwits people and others like Clifford Jake [a spiritual leader from Indian Peaks band of the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah] who knows about this site, fear that any improvement in the roads will increase the vandalization of the site. At the present time

Richard W. Stoffle Page 6 1/2/2008 6

the cave site is just a few miles north of the Shivwits Indian Reservation. The site's only protection is the poor access to the area.

Earlier it was pointed out that in 1983 the involved tribal governments believed the site could best be protected by not mentioning the site and asking that the relevant Federal land management agencies would keep the site secret. This was the protection agreement, and then the IPP line to California was constructed.

Cumulative Impacts 2006

Over the past 24 years, the initial IPP single line corridor became a massive utility corridor involving three major power lines and a series of underground gas lines. Each subsequent project was officially squeezed into the initial IPP corridor, which was in fact expanded by the practice of project construction workers going where they felt they needed to be for their specific construction tasks. The current footprint of the utility corridor is not only much wider than the initial IPP corridor, but virtually all of the corridor has been disturbed and surrounding roads have been cut and upgraded to form a braded latticework of roads.

Not only has access into the area been greatly increased by the utility corridor, but the natural and cultural resource use patterns in the area have shifted from ranching to extensive unregulated tent and motorized camper camping and off-road vehicle recreation. Even though there is an extensive network of vehicle trails, off-road recreationalists continue to create new roads. These illegal wildcat trails impact the Solar Calendar by increasing access to the site.

During the 2006 site visit, changes to the Solar Calendar area were noted. Now there is a high quality dirt road to the last point that can be traveled by car or truck where the road makes a wide turn-around circle indicating it has arrived at a destination. The cave has evidence of frequent use. Trash is littered throughout the site, charcoal from personal fires exist in the turn-around, in front of the cave, and even inside of the cave. The latter being a confined fire has spalled off the sides of the cave. Offerings placed by generations of Indian pilgrims near the front of the cave have been sifted by pot hunters and all artifacts have been removed except a few small lithics which are dumped in piles after sifting. Graffiti now exists in the cave, but the large Solar Calendar seems to be in the same condition it was in 1983.

At the present time there is yet another proposal for constructing an underground utility pipe line along the corridor and to officially declaring it an *energy corridor*. The status of this proposal and the potential involvement of the Southern Paiute people are unclear. After so many disturbances, it will be difficult to make an argument that will either relocate or stop this proposal. Perhaps the new energy proposals, along with the EIA of the OST will combine to produce federal agency mitigations that will afford new protections for the Solar Calendar.

Ethnographic Interpretation

All humans keep track of time. Time for humans is structured by the movements of the planets, stars, moon, and the sun. Time is divided into the many kinds of units generally understood as a day, month, season, and year. But humans also keep track of lineal time composed of decades, hundreds, and even thousands of years. Mythic time, which exists today in

Richard W. Stoffle Page 7 1/2/2008 7

another dimension and existed before current physical earth time, is governed by different forces than physical time. Places exist where a properly prepared human, called above a *journeyman*, can pass back and forth through a portal to another time frame and spatial dimension. Rock peckings and paintings are often put by humans and spirits on these portals to indicate the nature of the place and the substance of the journey. The Solar Calendar is such a place.

Human activities are influenced by time, which often dictates that specific human activities (rituals or ceremonies) occur at exact moments. Moment-specific human activities are often the most important things that people can do; generally serving to keep their lives, their communities, and the world in balance. In order to know when these moments are about to and do occur, humans have specialists and specialized tools for telling exact time. The tools for time keeping are often physical, such as topographically distinct landscape features which permit a shadow or light shaft to move across a specific place at the moment which is to be remembered, prepared for, and ceremonialized.

The meaning and cultural centrality of this Southern Paiute Solar Calendar (and associated pilgrimage trail and hot spring) can be better understood by situating them within the professional literature, which is represented in part by published findings in ARCHAEOASTRONOMY: The Journal of Astronomy and Culture (archaeoastronomy.net). From this and other sources it is possible to present a short list of similar places from Europe and elsewhere in the New World (Carlson and Judge 1983; Eddy 1974; Newman, Mark, and Vivian 1982; Patrick 1974; Ruggles 2006).

Stonehenge and Avebury megalithic temples, County of Wiltshire, southern England.

Newgrange earthworks, passage grave, and midwinter rays of sun, beside the River Boyne, Ireland.

Carnac, greatest of all European megalithic centers, 6,700 BP, Hamlet of Le Menec near Carnac, France.

Externsteine rock caves and temples atop rocks, Detmold in Lower Saxony, Germany.

Machu Picchu and the Intihuatana – sacred Inca stone dedicated to the sun God Inti and used as a scientific clock, near Urubamba River, Peru.

Pyramids of the Sun and Moon and associated plazas in Teotihuacan, central Mexico.

Palenque, a Mayan ceremonial center operated by astronomer-priests, State of Chiapas, Mexico.

Bighorn Medicine Wheel on Medicine Mountain, between Sheridan and Lovell, Wyoming.

Sun Dagger on Fajada Butte, Chaco Canyon, Chaco National Historic Park, New Mexico.

Richard W. Stoffle Page 8 1/2/2008 8

Chimney Rock on a mountain near the Pierda River, Colorado.

These solar calendar places all were designed to capture solar moments when natural light shines on natural places or lines up with engineered places. Even when engineered features predominate the ceremonial place, natural features, like Intihuatana the carved stone from living rock at Machu Picchu, are used as a part of the time keeping. The special topography of the place is evident at Fajada Butte, Medicine Mountain, and spectacular tall rock on which the solar calendar was placed in Saxony, Germany. Such places for thousands of years occupied culturally central positions in the lives of Native Americans and Native Europeans; and now each place is celebrated and protected by national heritage law in these countries. The Solar Calendar is currently eligible but unprotected.

Once it is recognized that there is a persistent visual connection between a topographically distinct landscape feature and a proximal time marker, the relationship is tested through frequent use and, if accuracy persists, chosen as a place of preference for human activities associated with temporal moments. Said simply, clocks have to work and when they do they are kept and protected. When people repeatedly conduct important activities at places, these places are commemorated and can become culturally central. Such places can become famous for their temporal services, and thus humans return to such places over generations – some say forever. People do not walk away from or leave unattended such places because world, community, and personal balance are at stake. Southern Paiute people continue to be responsible for their Solar Calendar.

Next Steps

The next step in the OST EIA development is to consider nominating to the National Register of Historic Places certain places which are culturally important and in some respects related to activities that occurred along the OST. The Shivwits tribal representatives have requested that the Solar Calendar now be given special federal status and for the appropriate land management agency to work with the tribe to reduce access, post exclusionary signs, restore the site to a traditional condition, and develop a co-management relationship with the tribe which would at a minimal involve regular monitoring. Secrecy regarding the location and key components of the Sacred Cave and Solar Calendar is now lost. Many people know about and use this site during recreational tourism. So, the Shivwits tribe (and by implication the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah) recommend that a minimal culturally accurate and sensitive interpretation of the place be developed and made accessible to area visitors so they understand the reason for reduced access and restoration efforts. Educating visitors in this largely un-patrolled area may be the best path to stabilization and preservation. The Indian people involved in this study would also like the area to be closed formally at least once a year so that commemoration and restoration ceremonies can occur.

Assessing the cumulative impacts of unique proposals that involve building in isolated areas, releasing qualitative new technologies, or changing the relationships of traditional people to the land will always be difficult and may at some level be impossible to predict. After decades of assessing utility corridors, however, it is now clear that they do increase access to natural areas and consequently expose them to development and use. This process tends to continue until

Richard W. Stoffle Page 9 1/2/2008 9

the area has its natural and human resources radically altered. Like the findings of Cebulla (2007) which demonstrate that social risks are not equally absorbed by people from different social classes, here American Indian people are shown to absorb more and different risks from threats to a unique social calendar so that the people of the nation state around them can reduce the collective costs of energy by taking transmission shortcuts through isolated areas.

Case studies such as this one can be extrapolated to new EIA proposals where similar areas and utility corridors are being assessed. Key there, as in the past, will be the consideration of long term temporal and spatial impacts. Subsequent EIA proposals, such as have occurred and may still occur the in the IPP corridor (WWEC PEIS 2007), further threaten to increase access and damage resources; but on a positive note, there are moments in time when the activities of the past can be reconsidered and mitigated by future proposals.

Richard W. Stoffle Page 10 1/2/2008 10

References

Baxter, W, W Ross, and H Spaling 2001. Improving the practice of cumulative effects assessment in Canada. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal.* **19**(4), December, 253-262.

Bean, L. and S. Vane 1982. *Intermountain power project intermountain - Adelanto Bipole 1 Transmission Line California: ethnographic (Native American) resources*. Report Submitted by Cultural Systems Research, Inc. to Applied Conservation Technology.

Bean, L and S Vane 1978. Persistence and power: a study of Native American peoples in the Sonoran Desert and the Devers-Palo Verde high voltage transmission line. Report submitted by Cultural Systems Research Inc. to Southern California Edison Company.

Beck, U 1992. Risk society: towards a new modernity. London: Sage Publications.

1996. Ecological politics in an age of risk. Cambridge, England: Polity Press.

Bérubé, M 2007. Cumulative effects assessments at Hydro-Québec: what have we learned? Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal. 25(2), June, 101-109.

Cebulla, A 2007. Class or Individual? A test of the nature of risk perceptions and the individualization thesis or risk society theory. *Journal of Risk Research* **10**(1), 129-148.

Duinker, P and L Greig 2007. Scenario analysis in environmental impact assessment: Improving explorations of the future. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. **27**(3), April, 206-219.

Eddy, J 1974. Astronomical alignment of the Big Horn Medicine Wheel. *Science.* **184**(4141), June, 1035-1043.

Fowler, D and C Fowler 1971. Anthropology of the Numa: John Wesley Powell's manuscripts of the Numic peoples of western North America, 1868-1880. Smithsonian Contributions to Anthropology, Number 14. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Giddens, A 1990. The Consequences of Modernity. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Lawe, L B, J Wells, and Mikisew Cree First Nations Industry Relations Corporation 2005. Cumulative effects assessment and EIA follow-up: a proposed community-based monitoring program in the Oil Sands Region, northeastern Alberta. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal.* 23(3), September, 205-209.

Morimoto, R and C Hope 2004. Applying a cost-benefit analysis model to the Three Gorges project in China. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal.* **22**(3), September, 205-220.

Richard W. Stoffle Page 11 1/2/2008 11

Newman, E, R Mark, and G Vivian 1982. Anasazi Solar Marker: The use of a natural rock fall. *Science*. 217(4564): 1036-1038.

Patrick, J 1974. Midwinter sunrise at Newgrange. Nature. 249, June, 517 - 519.

Piper, J 2002. CEA and sustainable development: evidence from UK case studies. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review.* **22**(1), January, 17-36.

Ruggles, C 2006. Interpreting solstitial alignments in late neolithic Wessex. *Archaeoastronomy*. **20**, 1-27.

Stoffle, R, H Dobyns, and M Evans 1983 Nungwu Uakapi: Southern Paiute Indians Comment on the Intermountain Power Project. Revised Intermountain-Adelanto Bipole I Proposal. Report submitted by Applied Urban Field School to Applied Conservation Technology. Kenosha, WI: University of Wisconsin-Parkside.

Stoffle, R and H Dobyns 1982. Nuvagantu: Nevada Indians Comment on the Intermountain Power Project, Nevada Section of Intermountain Adelanto Bipole I Proposal. Report Submitted by AUFS to Applied Conservation Technology. Kenosha, WI: University of Wisconsin-Parkside.

1982b. Puaxant Tuvip: Utah Indians Comment on the Intermountain Power Project, Utah Section of Intermountain Adelanto Bipole I Proposal. Report Submitted by AUFS to Applied Conservation Technology. Kenosha, WI: University of Wisconsin-Parkside. Pp. 278.

Stoffle, R, K Van Vlack, R Toupal, S O'Meara, R Arnold 2007. *American Indians and the Old Spanish Trail*. Tucson, AZ: Bureau of Applied Research in Anthropology, University of Arizona.

Stoffle, R, N Zedeño, A Eisenberg, R Toupal, and A Carroll 2004. Shifting Risks: Hoover Dam Bridge Impacts on American Indian Sacred Landscapes. In *Facilty Siting: Risk Power and Identity in Land Use Planning*, eds. Ä. Boholm and R. Löfstedt pp. 127 – 143. London: Earthscan.

Szuster, B W, M Flaherty 2002. Cumulative environmental effects of low salinity shrimp farming in Thailand. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal.* **20**(3), September, 189-200.

Teigland, J 1999 Predictions and realities: impacts on tourism and recreation from hydropower and major road developments. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal.* **17**(1), March, 67-76.

Tollefson, C and K Wipond 1998 Cumulative environmental impacts and aboriginal rights. Environmental Impact Assessment Review. **18**(4), December, 371-390.

WWEC PEIS 2007 West-wide energy corridor programmatic environmental impact assessment. Washington DC. Available at http://corridoreis.anl.gov/documents/dpeis/index.cfm)>

Richard W. Stoffle Page 12 1/2/2008 12

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Friday, December 21, 2007 5:04 PM

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50024

Thank you for your comment, Richard Stoffle.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50024. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 21, 2007 05:03:54PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50024

First Name: Richard Middle Initial: W Last Name: Stoffle

Organization: University of Arizona Address: 319 Anthropology Bldg

Address 2: Bureau of Applied Research in Anthropology

City: Tucson State: AZ Zip: 85721 Country: USA

Email: rstoffle@u.arizona.edu

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The proposed energy corridor located along the current powerline through the Arizona Strip area of northern Arizona would impact an important sacred site belonging to all Indian people in the region, but especially belonging to the Southern Paiute people. This site is a World Balancing place used to restore with ceremony the whole world when it gets out of balance. It was a site used for this purpose duringthe 1890 Ghost Dance and was attended by Pai-speaking and Numic-speaking Indian groups. The Ghost Dance site is eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places as a Traditional Cultural Property. It has not been nominated, at the request of the Kaibab Paiute Tribe, because it is currently protected by being on the Kaibab Paiute Indian reservation and because there is no easy motorized access to upper Kanab Creek.

Upper Kanab Creek was also a Region of Refuge for Southern Paiute people in the late 1800s and early 1900s as thus has sites of historic cultural value to them. Such sites are eligible to be nominated to the National Register of Historic Places.

For details on these two cultural issues see: Stoffle, R., L. Loendorf, D. Austin, D. Halmo, and A. Bulletts (2000) "Ghost Dancing the Grand Canyon: Southern Paiute Rock Art, Ceremony, and Cultural Landscapes. Current Anthropology 41(1): 11-38. {http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/CA/journal/contents/v41n1.html}

The current powerline crosses Kanab Creek just below the Kaibab Paiute reservation boundary without having cut an access road to the bottom of this deep canyon. Any further energy projects built in this area, such as a pipeline, that involves ground burial would cut an access road to the bottom of Kanab Creek. Such an access road would attract ATV and two-wheeled off road vehicles and open upper Kanab Creek to looting and vandalism of Indian sacred and historic sites.

Multiple energy corridor projects would define Kanab Creek as a sacrifice zone which would

50024-001

then be increasingly damaged through cumulative impacts.

50024-001 (cont.)

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

2

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov

Thursday, December 27, 2007 10:20 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50027 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, Rosalie Hewitt.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50027. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: December 27, 2007 10:20:00PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50027

First Name: Rosalie Last Name: Hewitt Address: City: State: NY

Zip:

Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please consider carefully the energy corridor, with attention paid to the fragile sagebrush area. Surely there is a way to fulfill the need without damaging our natural areas.

50027-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 01, 2008 2:57 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50028

Thank you for your comment, David Burch.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50028. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 1, 2008 02:56:27PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50028

First Name: David Middle Initial: P Last Name: Burch Address: 901 East Victoria Street City: South Bend State: IN Zip: 46614-1339 Country: USA

Email: DBurch7670@aol.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

DOE, please revisit the Energy Corridor Proposal so that the route avoids all proposed and designated wilderness. Also, please adopt of alternative energy sources in order to reduce or eliminate the need for new energy corridors.

50028-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Saturday, January 05, 2008 1:12 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50029

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50029. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 5, 2008 01:12:10PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50029

First Name: Middle Initial: Last Name: Organization: Address: City: State: CA Zip:

Country: USA

Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:

AS I am very Much for Better way for creating new Energy sources for Souther California.I feel that Impacting Our shrinking public lands that are open to Off highway vehicle travel.Leave very much room for seeking alternative placement of wind driven power generating devices.Using road made for Off highway use Is only cutting the cost of this project very minimally. I am opposed to using public LANDS FOR PRIVATE CONTRACTING AND COMMERCE. IT IS IN MY OPINION NOT COPASTETIC WITH THE MEANING PUBLIC LANDS WE THE PUBLIC SHOULD HAVE A SAY SO IN THE MATTER OF THIS ISSUE.

50029-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Saturday, January 05, 2008 3:05 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50030

Thank you for your comment, Donn Nay.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50030. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 5, 2008 03:05:16PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50030

First Name: Donn Last Name: Nay Address: 1820 North Naomi City: Burbank State: CA Zip: 91505 Country: USA Email: nays5@sbcglobal.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The proposed location of this project is in direct conflict with an area currently used for off road recreation and competition. Through the years the government has continuously taken away land available for us to recreate on. While I am in full support of alternative energy we cannot tolerate loosing additional acreage for our sport. Our entire extended family depends on access to our public lands for recreation and this proposal will severely restrict acreage available as well as access to adjoining areas. I storngly oppose this project.

50030-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2008 6:32 PM

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50031

Thank you for your comment, Kenneth Hansing.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50031. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 5, 2008 06:32:08PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50031

First Name: Kenneth
Middle Initial: R
Last Name: Hansing
Organization: Family
Address: 21766 Fox Court
City: Tehachapi
State: CA
Zip: 93561
Country: USA
Email: Mxrken@earthlink.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The proposed location of this project is in direct conflict with an area currently used for off road recreation and competition. Through the years the government has continuously taken away land available for us to recreate on. While I am in full support of alternative energy we cannot tolerate loosing additional acreage for our sport. Our entire extended family depends on access to our public lands for recreation and this proposal will severely restrict acreage available as well as access to adjoining areas. I storngly oppose this project.

50031-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2008 7:02 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50032

Thank you for your comment, Daniel May.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50032. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 5, 2008 07:01:57PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50032

First Name: Daniel
Last Name: May
Organization: Four Aces M/C
City: Simi Valley
State: CA
Zip: 93065
Country: USA
Email: el_captain@msn.com
Privacy Preference: Don't wi

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The proposed location of this project is in direct conflict with an area currently used for off road recreation and competition. Through the years the government has continuously taken away land available for us to recreate on. While I am in full support of alternative energy we cannot tolerate loosing additional acreage for our sport. Our entire extended family depends on access to our public lands for recreation and this proposal will severely restrict acreage available as well as access to adjoining areas. I storngly oppose this project.

50032-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Sunday, January 06, 2008 12:37 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50033

Thank you for your comment, Alex Mlikotin.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50033. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 6, 2008 12:37:12PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50033

First Name: Alex
Middle Initial: T
Last Name: Mlikotin
Address: 56720 Handley Rd.
City: Yucca Valley
State: CA
Zip: 92284
Country: USA
Email: alex90066@hotmail.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I support energy corridors BUT - not above ground. All other utility lines, water, sewer, gas, phone, etc. are underground, profitable and cost less then electricity. Also, airplanes do not crash into them, cars do not hit them, lives and property are not lost. Overhead lines are a thing of 18th Century. They are an invitation for terrorists to blow them up. Plan, as presented would take 2,955,526 acres of land for no other use. We can no afford that. In an article couple of years ago, PG&E states that it spends \$153,000,000 EACH year to trim trees that incroach on its lines. Damage caused by storms, interrupted business etc. due to downed powerlines is incalculable. Yet power companies insist on puttiong them up - and charging customers for it. Undergrounding should be made mandatory for all power lines. Thank you.

50033-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Monday, January 07, 2008 2:18 PM Sent:

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50034

Thank you for your comment, Richard Arnold.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50034. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 7, 2008 02:17:56PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50034

First Name: Richard Middle Initial: W Last Name: Arnold

Organization: Pahrump Paiute Tribe and CGTO

Address: P.O. Box 3411

City: Pahrump State: NV Zip: 89041 Country: USA

Email: rwarnold@hotmail.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

On behalf of the Pahrump Paiute Tribe and as Spokesperson of the Consolidated Group of Tribes and Organizations (CGTO), I would like to express our concern about two sacred sites that meet the requirements of Traditional Cultural Properties as promolgated under National Park Service Bulletin 38> Specifically, these two areas of concern to all Southern Paiutes include the Solar Calendar north of Shivits and the Ghost Dance Site located north of Kanab Creet

50034-001

Any damage or adverse impacts to these areas are unacceptible to Southern Paiute People. Therefore, as Chairperson of the Pahrump Paiute Tribe and Spokesperson of the Consolidated Group of Tribes and Organizations, we are hereby requesting that Government-to-Governments be initiated with all Southern Paiute people due to cultural and religious ties to these areas that are located within the traditional homelands of all Southern Paiutes.

Richard W. Arnold, Chairperson/Spokesperson Pahrump Paiute Tribe/CGTO

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Monday, January 07, 2008 6:53 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50035

Thank you for your comment, Donna Tisdale.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50035. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 7, 2008 06:52:28PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50035

First Name: Donna Middle Initial: D Last Name: Tisdale

Organization: Boulevard Planning Group

Address: P.o. Box 1272

City: Bouelvard State: CA Zip: 91905 Country: USA

Email: donnatisdale@hughes.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

January 7, 2008

Comment letter on the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Designation of Energy Corridors on Federal land in the 11 western States (DOE / EIS 0386:

On January 3, 2008, our community planning group, located in Eastern San Diego County, voted 7-0-0 to submit this preliminary comment letter with more detailed comments to follow. Our group is advisory to the San Diego County Board of Supervisors and land use agencies.

We understand that any specific project would need to undergo a separate environmental review by the appropriate federal state or local agencies. However, based on the information provided in this EIS, including the limited mapping, we strongly object to the multi-modal aspect of the designation through our area of segment 115-238 of the Energy Corridor, which will allow for pipelines for natural gas, fuel, oil, and eventually for hydrogen, to cross our federal lands.

Our main objection is the potential negative impacts to our sole source groundwater resources. While only part of our area is federally designated through Region 9 of the USEPA, under the Safe Drinking Water Act, as the Campo-Cottonwood Creek Sole Source Aquifer, the entire area is equally reliant on fragile groundwater resources. To the east of us is the Ocotillo/Coyote Wells Sole Source Aquifer which will be negatively impacted by Segment 115-238

The highly fractured nature of our area lends itself to the potentially rapid spread of any contamination source, including ruptured or leaking pipelines that could be permitted in this Energy Corridor.

50035-001

Any project that receives federal funding and has the potential to contaminate these federally designated aquifers would need to fully comply with potentially restricted requirements in order to protect our drinking water. Documentation for these federal sole Source Aquifer designations can be found on the USEPA web page under Region 9

50035-001 (cont.)

Our other objections include the width of the corridor, and negative impacts of additional overhead electrical transmission lines in an area of high fire danger and little firefighting infrastructure.

50035-002

Our area escaped the 2007 fire storms in San Diego County. However, this means we now have the oldest and most volatile fuel load in the County and are most susceptible to any future fire storms. Overhead power lines are suspected of causing numerous of the 2007 fires and are currently under investigation.

50035-003

Objections, overall, include potential negative impacts this designation will pose to our fragile groundwater resources, our valued public lands, private properties, property values, our scenic area, visual resources, and viewsheds, and to sensitive lands and species. Public health and safety are also key concerns.

Sincerely,

Donna Tisdale, Chair Boulevard Planning Group P.O. Box 1275 Boulevard, CA 91905 619-766-4170 donnatisdale@hughes.net

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 2:57 PM

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50036

Thank you for your comment, Jean Wyles.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50036. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 02:57:06PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50036

First Name: Jean Middle Initial: M Last Name: Wyles Address: City: State: OR Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

My husband and I oppose the proposed energy corridor through the Mt. Hood area. We live in the affected area and cannot attend the public meeting in Portland due to the weather. The primary economic base here is tourism and recreation. The corridor proposed is about 2/3 of a mile wide, and goes through the Pacific Crest Trail, the Mt. Hood National Forest, and private lands. Government Camp is a booming destination resort. The Resort at The Mountain, in Welches, has a new owner and is planning expansion and upgrades. An energy corridor in this area is a TERRIBLE IDEA, especially if the purpose is to feed California's energy needs. California doesn't want it! Why should we get stuck with it for their benefit?

50036-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 3:08 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50037

Thank you for your comment, Glenn Rogers.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50037. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 03:07:24PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50037

First Name: Glenn Last Name: Rogers Organization: Shivwits Band of the Paiute Tribe Address: 370 N. 400 W #2 City: St.George State: UT Zip: 84770

Country: USA Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I am a member of the Paiute Tribe of Utah and chairman of the shivwits band. our reservation is located west of St. George, Utah. I would for the record state that the shivwits band have several concerns of any disturbance to any archaelogical sites -(calendar panel), and cultural plants.

50037-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 3:49 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50039

Thank you for your comment, Mark Reback.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50039. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 03:48:57PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50039

First Name: Mark Last Name: Reback Address: City:

City: State: CA Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

RE: Energy Corridor Draft Program

The federal government to reroute their corridor proposal to avoid special wild places, and to take the necessary steps to do the job right.

Areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills (such as those in Oregon, Washington, Colorado, and California) will be impacted by the proposed corridors. The analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection.

alyze

Special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether - agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public.

Best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory.

50039-002

50039-001

Alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50039-003

Thank you for your consideration of the above.

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 3:51 PM

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50040

Thank you for your comment, darynne jessler.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50040. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 03:51:07PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50040

First Name: darynne Middle Initial: a Last Name: jessler Address: 4408 gentry ave City: valley village State: CA Zip: 91607 Country: USA

Email: darynnej@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I am strongly opposed to the federal government's draft plan proposing 6,000 miles of energy corridors cutting through eleven western states. Ranging in width from two-thirds of a mile up to five miles, these huge swaths will fragment habitat, degrade recreation opportunities, and otherwise mar our public lands.

50040-001

The biggest problem with these proposed corridors is that they run immediately adjacent to or directly through many natural treasures and wild places, and the construction projects will be expedited with limited environmental review. The impact of this development will be dramatic, given that as many as 35 liquid petroleum pipelines, up to 29 natural gas pipelines, or about nine individual 500-kv transmission lines could be supported within a single 3,500-foot-wide corridor.

50040-002

The Federal Government needs to go back to the drawing board and come up with a proposal that takes wild places into consideration.

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 3:56 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50041 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, Patricia Churchman.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50041. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 03:55:43PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50041

First Name: Patricia Middle Initial: M Last Name: Churchman Address: 3 City: Bridgewater State: VA Zip: 22812 Country: USA Email: patchu2@verizon.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

What we have left is all we have left. Let's not lose it.

50041-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 4:07 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50042

Thank you for your comment, Gloria Carlton.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50042. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 04:07:03PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50042

First Name: Gloria Last Name: Carlton Address: City:

State: ID Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

Public lands should be avoided altogether - the government should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Government should also make this process and information readily available to the general public.

50042-001 50042-002

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 4:24 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50043

Thank you for your comment, Amy Garcia.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50043. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 04:23:29PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50043

First Name: Amy Middle Initial: A Last Name: Garcia Address: 76 Prospect Blvd.

City: St. Paul State: MN Zip: 55107 Country: USA

Email: garc0166@metnet.edu

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

After reviewing this proposal, the first thing that caught my eye is the lack of alternative proposals. Isn't the federal govenment required by law(NEPA) to propose alternatives for the public to review as well as the main proposal? I would like to see the alternatives.

I also notice that many miles go through areas that are currently proposed as wilderness areas, scenic areas, national monuments and this is unacceptable. I recently visited the Grand Staircase National Monument and while trying to get a good picture of it found a huge powerline right in the way of a good shot. These are existing powerlines blocking views of a national monument and I cannot imagine more, much less wider, in this special, scenic landscape. This project should avoid these public land areas (special, sensitive, wildlife habitat,etc) and if need be, at least follow the roads. Best management practices should be put into place also in these situations. I look forward to continued review of this project and it's development of alternatives.

50043-001

50043-002

50043-003

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 4:29 PM Sent:

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50044

Thank you for your comment, Jennifer Lance.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50044. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 04:28:56PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50044

First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Lance Address: P.O. Box 139 City: Hyampom State: CA Zip: 96046 Country: USA Email: jlance@hughes.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please consider the following comments:

*areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills (such as those in Oregon, Washington, Colorado, and California) will be impacted by the proposed corridors. The analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection;

50044-001

*special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether - agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public;

*best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; and

50044-002

*alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50044-003

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 4:38 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50045 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, Nick Larkins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50045. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 04:37:28PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50045

First Name: Nick Middle Initial: m Last Name: Larkins Address: City: State: NM Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

Wilderness will not be wild long if you bring infrustructure into the frey.

50045-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 4:48 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50046

Thank you for your comment, Dean Cobb.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50046. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 04:48:13PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50046

First Name: Dean Middle Initial: E Last Name: Cobb

Address: 5837 Morgan Place #99

City: Stockton State: CA Zip: 95219 Country: USA

Email: dgermanking@aol.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I understand the need for energy corridors, but I also believe this can be done with minimal damage to the environment. We must do everything we can to avoid damaging the flora and fauna left in this country or we will one day regret the loss of some of our most beloved species. Please route these corridors in the areas that do the least damage.

50046-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 5:06 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50047

Thank you for your comment, Steve and Rachael Alvarez Jett.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50047. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 05:05:46PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50047

First Name: Steve and Rachael Last Name: Alvarez Jett Address: 24211 Huber Avenue City: Torrance State: CA

Zip: 90501 Country: USA

Email: alvarezjett@integrity.com Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

We need more environmental review on the West-wide Energy Corridor Plan before it's implementation.

50047-001

corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 5:41 PM mail_corridoreisarchives From: Sent:

To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50048 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, Joseph Cox.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50048. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 05:40:22PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50048

First Name: Joseph Middle Initial: S Last Name: Cox Address: City: State: CA Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

I am against the proposal for carving massive corridors out of the natural landscapes of our public lands, wilderness and national recreation areas, as well as wildlife refuges which would destroy the natural habitat and other unfound cultural resources on these	50048-001
federal lands - some 3 million acres of public lands! And the fact that there will be limited environmental review before construction begins, is another problem with the proposal.	50048-002
And all agencies involved need to make the pertinent information they have available to the public so we can thouroughly comment with other, more relavent choices.	50048-003
I am also especially worried about specific areas of potential impact like Arches National Park and Grand Staircase Escalante National Monument, where I visited many times as a child and Lake Havasu where I spent many summers.	50048-004

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 6:02 PM Sent:

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50049

Thank you for your comment, Brent Sandmeyer.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50049. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 06:02:03PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50049

First Name: Brent Last Name: Sandmeyer Address: 2118 SE 11th Ave City: Portland State: OR Zip: 97214 Country: USA Email: brent.sandmeyer@gmail.com Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please develop and consider alternatives to using proposed wilderness and other vulnerable natural areas for these energy corridors. The potential damage resulting from the construction and maintenance of these giant corridors must be studied in-depth so that any harm may be mitigated.

50049-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 6:31 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50050

Thank you for your comment, Melinda Weisser-Lee.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50050. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 06:31:14PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50050

First Name: Melinda Last Name: Weisser-Lee Address: 3864 W Kimball St City: Thatcher State: AZ Zip: 85552 Country: USA Email: kenmindy@aol.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I believe that the proposed energy corridor should avoid areas that are a part of wildlife refuges or conservation areas, as these are sensitive areas needed for wildlife habitat. I also feel that areas proximal to any national park or monument should be avoided, especially the Grand Staircase and Arches regions. Furthermore, I would like the corridor to avoid areas which are the subject of pending wilderness legislation. Whatever route is followed, it must be done with the least environmental impact possible.

50050-001

50050-002

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Tuesday, January 08, 2008 7:24 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50051

Thank you for your comment, Benton Elliott.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50051. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 07:23:59PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50051

First Name: Benton Last Name: Elliott

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The proposed energy corridors run immediately adjacent to or directly through many natural treasures and wild places, and the construction projects will be expedited with limited environmental review.

50051-001

I am writing to ask you to reroute your corridor proposal to avoid special wild places, and to take the necessary steps to do the job right.

50051-002

Thank you.

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 7:27 PM

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50052 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, William Kohler.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50052. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 07:26:46PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50052

First Name: William Middle Initial: L Last Name: Kohler Address: 1238 Coburg Rd City: Eugene State: OR Zip: 97401 Country: USA

Email: Potawatomi13@hotmail.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Leave the wild and open areas unspoiled by pipelines and powerlines.

50052-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 8:25 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50053

Thank you for your comment, Dianne Douglas.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50053. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 08:25:07PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50053

First Name: Dianne Last Name: Douglas

Address: 2723 E Valencia Drive

City: Phoenix State: AZ Zip: 85042-7082 Country: USA

Email: dddouglas7@juno.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The Energy Corridor draft plan

Special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether - agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public;

50053-001

Best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory;

50053-002

Alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50053-003

Arizona

Proposed corridor runs through Lake Havasu National Wildlife Refuge, which also passes into California, and includes 30 river miles (300 miles of shoreline) of the Colorado River from Needles, California, to Lake Havasu City, Arizona. Area includes bighorn sheep, many species of birds, while providing recreation opportunities to boat through the spectacular Topock Gorge, watch waterbirds in Topock Marsh, or hike to the Havasu Wilderness Area. This corridor is also encompassed by the recently-designated Southwest National Interest Electric Transmission Corridor - making it an even more likely target for development and truncated environmental review.

50053-004

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 8:35 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50054

Thank you for your comment, Constance Anderson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50054. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 08:34:34PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50054

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Anderson
Address: 2180 Newt hUff lane
City: Sevierville
State: TN
Zip: 37862
Country: USA
Email: bearfoots_1@bellsouth.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

* areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills (such as those in Oregon, Washington, Colorado, and California) will be impacted by the proposed corridors. The analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection;

50054-001

- * special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public;
- * best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; and

50054-002

* alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50054-003

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 9:24 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50055

Thank you for your comment, maria casson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50055. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 09:24:02PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50055

First Name: maria Last Name: casson State: WA Zip:

Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

I request to reroute the corridor proposal to avoid special wild places, and to take the necessary steps to make the best efforts in minimizing impact to wildlife and its habitats to be conserver as part of our inheritance to future generations.

areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills (such as those in Oregon, Washington, Colorado, and California) will be impacted by the proposed corridors.

The analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection; special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether - agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them.

Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public; best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; and alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan.

The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

50055-001

50055-002

50055-003

50055-004

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 9:44 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50056

Thank you for your comment, Bill Forbes.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50056. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 8, 2008 09:44:09PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50056

First Name: Bill Last Name: Forbes Address: 134 N. Church St. City: Nacogdoches

State: TX Zip: 75961 Country: USA

Email: bforbes@care2.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided

50056-001

Special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether

Best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - for example, if not proposed already, the power lines need to have raptor protection devices.

50056-002

Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public; agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; and alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50056-003

50056-005

New Mexico

Proposed corridor through the Proposed National Conservation Area in Organ Mountains (also identified as meeting criteria for wilderness designation by the New Mexico Wilderness Alliance as the Organ Foothills or Talavera Proposed Wilderness Area) is included in a proposed national conservation area that is endorsed by the Las Cruces City Council, Dona Ana County Commission, conservation groups, hunters, backcountry horsemen, the Las Cruces Homebuilders Association and other elected officials. While there is an existing power line here, it is certainly not an area where anyone contemplated placement of 9 large power lines or 30 pipelines - but designation of the area as an energy corridor makes this scale of development more likely, an impact neither addressed nor mitigated in the Draft PETS.

50056-006

The same corridor also runs through Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge. . While the proposed corridor includes an existing right-of-way and follows a highway, large-scale use of the corridor will necessarily interfere with the protection of the wildlife in the Refuge, which is why conservation groups have advocated for corridors not to be placed in wildlife refuges.

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 9:46 AM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50057

Thank you for your comment, Jeff Mikeska.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50057. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 09:46:00AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50057

First Name: Jeff Last Name: Mikeska City: Santa Barbara

State: CA Zip: 93108 Country: USA

Email: jsmikeska@hotmail.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills (such as those in Oregon, Washington, Colorado, and California) will be impacted by the proposed corridors. The analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection; special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether - agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public; best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; and alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50057-001

50057-002

50057-003

50057-004

Specificly

New Mexico

Proposed corridor through the Proposed National Conservation Area in Organ Mountains (also identified as meeting criteria for wilderness designation by the New Mexico Wilderness Alliance as the Organ Foothills or Talavera Proposed Wilderness Area) is included in a proposed national conservation area that is endorsed by the Las Cruces City Council, Dona Ana County Commission, conservation groups, hunters, backcountry horsemen, the Las Cruces Homebuilders Association and other elected officials. While there is an existing power line here, it is certainly not an area where anyone contemplated placement of 9 large power lines or 30 pipelines - but designation of the area as an energy corridor makes this scale of development more likely, an impact neither addressed nor mitigated in the Draft PEIS.

50057-005

The same corridor also runs through Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge. The Refuge is home to a vast array of wildlife number of important and endangered species, including desert bighorn sheep and bald eagles, as well as Gunnison prairie dogs. While the proposed corridor includes an existing right-of-way and follows a highway, large-scale use of the corridor will necessarily interfere with the protection of the wildlife in the Refuge,

which is why conservation groups have advocated for corridors not to be placed in wildlife refuges. The corridor also passes through the Rio Grande corridor (one of the most stressed rivers in the country), habitat for the endangered Pecos sunflower and two State Wildlife Refuges, which are not even acknowledged. We are encouraged that in the Draft PEIS, the width of the corridor is limited to 1500-feet through Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, but this is not a uniform approach to corridors through wildlife habitat or even for the remainder of this corridor, which will still damage other resources.

50057-005 (cont.)

Nevada

Two proposed corridors pass through or adjacent to the Desert National Wildlife Refuge. The Refuge is home to desert bighorn sheep, as are the three Wilderness Areas (Delamar Mountains, Arrow Canyon and Meadow Valley) which border the proposed corridor to the east of the Refuge. Impacts to the bighorn sheep habitat in the Refuge will likely impact the populations in both the Refuge and the Wilderness areas. The Refuge also provides habitat for the threatened desert tortoise, which the corridor is likely to harm as well. The proposed corridor also impacts the Fossil Elbow and Gass Mountain citizen-proposed wilderness areas, both of which have been found by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service to be suitable for wilderness designation. Cumulative impacts from the corridor must be considered in conjunction with the development already occurring, such that all of the other land around the existing highway and proposed corridor to the east of the Refuge that is not protected as Wilderness is already subject to intensive private development.

50057-006

Idaho

Proposed corridor runs 19 miles through the Snake River-Birds of Prey National Conservation Area, which was established to protect one of the densest known raptor populations in North America including the habitat of the raptor prey base as well as the nesting and hunting habitat of raptors within the conservation area. National Conservation Areas are not included in the list of "sensitive resources" impacted by the proposed corridors, nor are there requirements for any corridors in those areas to comply with the management priorities set out in the legislation establishing them.

Arizona

Proposed corridor runs through Lake Havasu National Wildlife Refuge, which also passes into California, and includes 30 river miles (300 miles of shoreline) of the Colorado River from Needles, California, to Lake Havasu City, Arizona. Area includes bighorn sheep, many species of birds, while providing recreation opportunities to boat through the spectacular Topock Gorge, watch waterbirds in Topock Marsh, or hike to the Havasu Wilderness Area. This corridor is also encompassed by the recently-designated Southwest National Interest Electric Transmission Corridor - making it an even more likely target for development and truncated environmental review.

50057-008

Utah

Proposed 3500-foot corridor for pipelines and power lines runs 20 miles through the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument, and does not follow an existing road. This area is in the Southern portion of the Monument and is frequented by hikers, backpackers, hunters, and horseback riders and is generally characterized by its opportunities for a remote and primitive experience. The corridor runs past the Buckskin Mountain area and crosses the Paria River, which has been declared by the BLM as suitable for inclusion into the Wild and Scenic Rivers System. The Paria encompasses the outstandingly remarkable values of scenic, recreational, wildlife, geological, historic, and riparian. From the intersection point with the corridor, the Paria flows immediately through the Paria Canyon/Vermillion Cliffs Wilderness Area and on down to the Colorado River. How this river will be impacted has not been evaluated in the Draft PEIS.

50057-009

Another proposed corridor runs along the border of Arches National Park, where development would impact the experience of the park. Running through some of the most spectacular scenic vistas in Southern Utah, this corridor will actually run through the canyon bordering the park. Neither impacts to this important area adjacent to the park nor the need for such pipelines and/or transmission lines have been assessed.

California

Proposed corridors run through the California Desert Conservation Area, established to manage desert resources and human uses, including recreation, but not included in the list of "sensitive resources" impacted by the proposed corridors and for which there are no requirements for the multiple corridors to comply with the management priorities set out in the relevant legislation and the complex plan that governs it. These corridors are also

50057-010

within the Southwest National Interest Electric Transmission Corridor - making it an even more likely target for development, including by condemnation of private lands and overruling any state agency objections, but neither the non-federal lands or the likely overlap with the Southwest National Interest Electric Transmission Corridor are addressed in this Draft PEIS.

50057-010 (cont.)

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov

Wednesday, January 09, 2008 10:23 AM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50058 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, Gail Rubio.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50058. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 10:23:11AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50058

First Name: Gail Middle Initial: L Last Name: Rubio Address: 6751 Carbon Cyn Rd City: Brea State: CA Zip: 92823 Country: USA Email: Barngodezz@aol.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The proposed "ENERGY CORRIDOR" can easily be constructed in areas that are NOT special, wild and scenic. It isn't necessary to create this "CORRIDOR" in regions that would take ANOTHER HEAVEN AND ANOTHER EARTH TO RECREATE.

50058-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov

Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 10:50 AM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50059

Thank you for your comment, Steve Robey.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50059. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 10:49:38AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50059

First Name: Steve Last Name: Robey Address: 25559 Brookshire Drive Address 3: 1601 McCarthy Blvd. City: Milpitas State: CA Zip: 95035-7415 Country: USA

Email: steve.robey@kineto.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I live near and frequently enjoy the California Desert Conservation Area that will be used by the proposed Energy Corridor. I believe we should not be using our prescious, limited natural resources this way and that the corridor can be moved to a less sensitive area. Thanks you.

50059-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov

Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 11:15 AM

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50060

Thank you for your comment, Keith Lynch.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50060. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 11:14:58AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50060

First Name: Keith Middle Initial: A Last Name: Lynch

Address: 955 Old Wilmington Road

City: Fayetteville State: NC Zip: 28301

Country: USA Email: keith.lynch@faypwc.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

If I asked a stranger on the street the best means to travel hundreds of miles on an open, 50-yard wide path which connected large populated areas, he/she would tell me to take the highway. If I asked this same question to a room full of transmission planners and politicians, they would shrug their shoulders.

Since corridor designation is the most costly and time-consuming aspect in transmission, why not use corridors that need have already been paid for and need no further funding? As with everything, there are downsides to using highways as transmission right of ways, but none of them outweigh the benefits of increased reliability and reduced (or at least stabilized) energy rates.

50060-001

We are already seeing the law of diminishing returns in private land use for corridors. As we continue to discuss federal land usage, we need to consider all federal land options which include the federal highway system. This option benefits every person in this country - including politicians.

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov

Wednesday, January 09, 2008 11:32 AM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50061 Subject:

Thank you for your comment, Peggy La Point.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50061. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 11:31:30AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50061

First Name: Peggy Middle Initial: B Last Name: La Point Address: 1900 Highland Park Circle City: Denton State: TX Zip: 76205 Country: USA

Email: tnplapoint@msn.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Comment Submitted: While I understand our desperate need for energy corridors, I am deeply concerned about the draft energy corridor plan because it is routed through so many sensitive public lands all across the western United States.	50061-001
The plan must be modified to avoid areas that have already been protected by various wilderness and preservation designations, such as Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge in New Mexico, the Lake Havasu National Wildlife Refuge in Arizona, Snake River-Birds of Prey National Conservation Area in Idaho, and Grand Staircase in Utah. I have spent time in each of these precious and irreplaceable places and would consider the construction of power corridors in or along their boundaries criminal.	50061-001
The route must also avoid areas that are poised and/or proposed for protection. Too often, protection of critical habitat is prevented by the type of action you are proposing.	50061-001 (cont.)
I am very disturbed that no alternative plans have been proposed, as is required by NEPA. The process has not been open to the public and we need to have input into a process that will have such enormous impacts on our lands.	50061-003
The interagency operating procedures should be mandatory and best management practices should be used in all projects. This project will have vast and permanent impact on the	50061-004

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.qov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

landscape and must be planned and executed with the utmost care. You must do better!

corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov From:

Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 11:40 AM

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50062

Thank you for your comment, Lynn Ledgerwood.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50062. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 11:40:19AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50062

First Name: Lynn Last Name: Ledgerwood Address: 2605 Otis St. SE City: Olympia State: WA Zip: 98501 Country: USA

Email: lynnledgerwood@qwest.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to comment on the Energy Corridor EIS.

I strongly believe that areas in pending wilderness legislation should be completely avoided. The wildlands that are included in pending wilderness bills (including Oregon, Washington, Colorado, and California) will be impacted by the proposed corridors. Because the analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, no action should be taken in these areas. As agencies are provided with relevant information, they should plan accordingly and modify corridors to avoid areas that are ready to be recognized for protection.

50062-001

Those public lands deemed as "special" or "sensitive" should be avoided altogether. Agencies have the responsibility to analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them.

Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public, a process that has has been seriously lacking during the last 8 years.

50062-002

I encourage the use of best management practices in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views. Interagency Operating Procedures should be mandatory and all alternatives should be presented and considered.

50062-003

Currently, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies need to provide the public with choices and all pertinent information as to make informed choices. That is why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50062-004

Again, thank you for allowing me to comment and hopefully we can protect our irreplaceable national treasures -- our land and our wildlife -- and make decisions that benefit all.

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Wednesday, January 09, 2008 1:14 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50063

Thank you for your comment, Robert Anthony.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50063. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 01:13:27PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50063

First Name: Robert Last Name: Anthony

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please do not route corridors through sensitive areas that are important for wildlife or through wilderness areas if possible. I urge you to provide alternatives that use current roads or corridors whenever possible and to require the best possible care of the land during construction. Thanks.

50063-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 2:57 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50064

Thank you for your comment, Jennifer Delker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50064. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 02:56:32PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50064

First Name: Jennifer
Last Name: Delker
Address: 657 Florence Ave.
City: Astoria
State: OR
Zip: 97103
Country: USA
Email: jennifer@utahsigngirl.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether - agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public; areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills (such as those in Oregon, Washington, Colorado, and California) will be impacted by the proposed corridors. The analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection; best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; andalternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50064-001 50064-002 50064-001 (cont.) 50064-003

50064-004

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 3:27 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50065

Thank you for your comment, Margaret Welke.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50065. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 03:26:59PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50065

First Name: Margaret Middle Initial: J Last Name: Welke Organization: WMMB

Address: 410 Clemons Avenue

City: Madison State: WI Zip: 53704 Country: USA

Email: mwelke@wmmb.org

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

This letter regards the draft plan proposing 6,000 miles of energy corridors cutting through eleven western states. These plans will fragment habitat, degrade recreation opportunities, and degrade our public lands in all the ways that are well known to anyone who has previously experienced this activity. The proposed corridors run immediately adjacent to or directly through many natural treasures and wild places, and the construction projects will be expedited with limited environmental review.

50065-001

I beieve that we should avoid special wild places and take the necessary steps to do the job right. In particular, areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills (such as those in Oregon, Washington, Colorado, should be avoided. The analysis of these impacts has not been completed, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection; special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether - agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public; best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views - agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; and alternatives should be presented and considered. Without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives. Thank you.

50065-002

50065-003

50065-004

50065-005

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 6:29 PM

To: mail_corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50066

Thank you for your comment, Sherie Feder.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50066. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 06:28:28PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50066

First Name: Sherie Last Name: Feder

Address: 3881 N Lakeshore Blvd.

City: Loomis State: CA Zip: 95650 Country: USA

Email: surwillowfeder@netzero.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I am opposed to the further destruction/abuse of our beautiful lands in the interest of further development accommodations. This energy corridor is environmentally damaging and is horrifying estetically. We are destroying everything of beauty around us and it is our duty to exercise common sense and responsibility and just say no. In light of all the evidence that has been determined about the damage we have already caused our world, I do not understand how this can even be considered seriously.

50066-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Wednesday, January 09, 2008 7:47 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50067

Thank you for your comment, Mercedes Dzindzeleta.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50067. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 07:46:53PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50067

First Name: Mercedes Middle Initial: R Last Name: Dzindzeleta Address: 609 7th Street City: Racine State: WI Zip: 53403 Country: USA

Email: mrdztea@gmail.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Any destruction of the wild areas of this country will be devastating to our life; and to the inhabitants of the those same areas. Start using alternative methods for energy; they cause much less damage to the planet and our country. Some alternatives: solar and wind in "local" community/ies. CONSERVATION FIRST! Conservation, and local energy development, would eliminate this proposal. Consider the good of all; not the profits of the few.

50067-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 9:31 PM

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50068

Thank you for your comment, Mark Hayduke Grenard.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50068. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 9, 2008 09:30:43PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50068

First Name: Mark Hayduke Last Name: Grenard Organization: Sierra Club Address: 1350 W. Van Buren#3055 City: Phoenix, Yuck, Sprawl State: AZ Zip: 85007

Zip: 85007 Country: USA

Email: haydukeaz@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I believe the corridors as proposed will negatively impact Threatened and Endangered Species and significant Indian ruins. I feel they should be readjusted to reflect these impacts. Especially, since the electric companies gaining from this are out of state. There are other methods of getting this power to the companies-such as power sharing agreements with other power companies.

Peace,

Mark Hayduke Grenard

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

50068-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2008 1:32 PM

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50069

Thank you for your comment, William huggins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50069. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 10, 2008 01:32:02PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50069

First Name: William
Last Name: huggins
Address: 430 Salzburg Ave
Address 3: 430 Salzburg Ave
City: Las Vegas
State: NV
Zip: 89183
Country: USA
Email: feerlessw@cox.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on a proposed powerline corridor through the Desert National Wildlife Refuge in southern Nevada. I am adamantly opposed to any major structures that would have a negative impact on the Refuge, where I have hiked many times. I will extrapolate my reasons below.

First, these powerlines could only negatively impact the desert bighorn sheep that call this Refuge home. I have seen these bighorn herds with my own eyes and they are wonderful. The herds spread across the Refuge and into recently designated wilderness areas that border the Refuge which are also subject to the ill effects of these corridors: the Delamar Mountains, Arrow Canyon, and Meadow Valley---all of which I have been to, as well. There would doubtless be a ripple effect through these herds if these corridors are allowed to be built across their land.

Secondly, two citizen-proposed wilderness areas are in danger of being degraded by this project. The U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service has agreed that Fossil Elbow and Grass Mountain both meet its requirements for wilderness designation. If these corridors are allowed to be constructed, it is doubtful that the wilderness qualities of those areas will remain unchanged.

50069-001

Lastly, there is already a huge amount of private development on the southern edge of the Refuge. Las Vegas is spreading like a cancer out to its limits. There has to be something that will bring the expansion of this city to an end, and the Refuge could be it. The desert tortoise has been chased almost completely out of the valley as it is; this is one of its few remaining areas and it should be left to exist there in peace. Along with the current development, these powerlines would only make the situation worse.

I have lived in southern Nevada for sixteen years now, watcing this desert city expand beyond the expectations of anyone but casino executives and developers. I do not believe that we need to build everywhere. Something must be set aside for nature, for the other creatures with whom we share this beautiful land. If our power needs must be met at their expense, maybe we should learn how to live a little more simply.

Thank you for your time.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Webmaster at (630)252-6182.

2

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2008 5:43 PM

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50070

Thank you for your comment, Brad Miller.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50070. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 10, 2008 05:42:30PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50070

First Name: Brad Last Name: Miller Address: City: Anthony State: KS Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

* Areas in pending wilderness legislation should be avoided - wildlands included in recently-introduced wilderness bills in various states, will be impacted by the proposed corridors. The analysis of these impacts has not been completed yet, but as agencies are provided with relevant information, they should modify corridors to avoid areas poised for protection;

50070-001

- * special or sensitive public lands should be avoided altogether agencies should analyze impacts to special public lands and reroute corridors to avoid them. Agencies should also make this process and information transparent to the public;
- * best management practices should be used in projects to limit damage to resources, recreation and views agencies should make their Interagency Operating Procedures mandatory; and

50070-002

* alternatives should be presented and considered - without alternatives, the public can only comment on what they don't like about the proposed plan. The agencies (who have all of the pertinent information) should provide the public with choices - that's why NEPA requires them to develop alternatives.

50070-003

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Thursday, January 10, 2008 6:15 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50071

Thank you for your comment, Dale Hadler.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50071. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 10, 2008 06:14:30PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50071

First Name: Dale Middle Initial: H Last Name: Hadler Address: 2523 16th Avenue South City: Minneapolis State: MN Zip: 55404 Country: USA

Email: dale_Hadler@hotmail.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

So many of our wild areas are threatened, it is important to keep development (such as pipelines and high power lines) out of these rare and vulnerable ares.

50071-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Thursday, January 10, 2008 7:08 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50072

Thank you for your comment, Jerry Wilson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50072. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 10, 2008 07:07:54PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50072

First Name: Jerry Middle Initial: L Last Name: Wilson Address: 4012 Maryland Av. City: Finleyville State: PA Zip: 15332 Country: USA

Email: loje_wi@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I believe that through energy conservation measures many if not all of these transmission line corridors can be avoided alltogether. If some must be constructed than they should be placed in such a way as to avoid designated wilderness areas, national wildlife refuges, national monuments, and wilderness study areas. The public deserves the right to be offered choices in regard to this issue, after all these public lands belong to all of us!!!

50072-001 50072-002

50072-003

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2008 9:30 PM

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50073

Thank you for your comment, Nancy Kroening.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50073. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 10, 2008 09:29:18PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50073

First Name: Nancy Last Name: Kroening Address: 123 East Calavar Road City: Phoenix State: AZ Zip: 85022 Country: USA Email: greeniefrost@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted: Dear People:

I am very opposed to this transmission corridor for several very, very good reasons. First, we live downstream from the nuclear plant and we DO NOT WANT IT ENLARGED, which is the next step in this project! The population here is way too large to get us out quickly if there is a problem, and there will be someday.

Second, this society is about to become more energy independent and that means we very likely will NOT need so many transmission lines. Transmission of electricity is SO energy wasteful. Only a small percent of the energy generated actually gets to the site of use. It is TIME to get this right and stop our wasteful practices.

50073-001

A third reason is that the LAND that will be used for the transmission line will be ruined. Every year the beautiful desert diminishes. And wildlife will not survive the challenges we are confronting species with. What will be left? A big mess. No, a BIG, HOT mess of desert with no green anywhere. Then the winds will pick up more dirt and we will have more dust storms and turn Arizona into the Middle East. We can and should do

Thank you for changing the direction of this project and putting it back on the back shelf where it belongs.

Sincerely.

Nancy Kroening

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2008 11:18 PM

To: mail corridoreisarchives

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50074

Thank you for your comment, Thane Harpole.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50074. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 10, 2008 11:18:01PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50074

First Name: Thane
Middle Initial: h
Last Name: Harpole
Address: 2668 Kings Creek Rd.
City: Hayes
State: VA
Zip: 23072
Country: USA

Email: hungryharpole@yahoo.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The proposed western Energy Corridor Plan is is a flawed and disturbing proposal. First of all, priority should be giving to preserving all wilderness lands, proposed wilderness lands, national monuments, historic and archaeological sites, and wetlands. These resources need to be preserved in perpetuity to enhance the health of our land and our citizens. Any plan that fails to fully plan for and avoid as many of these as possible should be rejected.

Secondly, proposing energy corridors of this scale is completely wrongheaded. As the U.S. and the world is heading towards alternative energies and away from fossil fuels, it seems ridiculous to contemplate sacrificing such large swaths of our public lands for inefficient, last-century energy technology.

50074-001

Please do all you can to preserve our natural lands and historic heritage. Do not sacrifice what belongs to every American for pipelines of oil and gas that benefit the few.

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Friday, January 11, 2008 9:42 AM mail_corridoreisarchives Sent: To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50075

Thank you for your comment, david hughes.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50075. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 11, 2008 09:41:59AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50075

First Name: david Last Name: hughes City: johnson valley State: CA

Zip: 92285 Country: USA

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

The transmission line is planning to be put right by a designated airport and in the flight path of air traffic. I hope to God you concider a different area for this pathway. Thank You

50075-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Friday, January 11, 2008 2:10 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50076

Thank you for your comment, Russ Pascoe.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50076. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 11, 2008 02:09:48PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50076

First Name: Russ Middle Initial: a Last Name: Pascoe Organization: Address: City: State: WA Zip: Country: USA Email:

Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

Our club consisting of 250 Oregon and Washington families is opposed to the pipeline from Astoria to Madras on account of the environmental damage it would cause.

50076-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Friday, January 11, 2008 2:15 PM Sent:

mail corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50077

Thank you for your comment, David Johson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50077. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 11, 2008 02:14:55PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50077

First Name: David Middle Initial: C Last Name: Johson Organization: concerned citizen Address: 7510 SW Kelly Ave City: Portland State: OR Zip: 97219 Country: USA Email: johnsoda7@hotmail.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I am an avid hiker and kayaker. I very much resent the notion that the government would allow a clear cut corridor crossing such valuable natural resources as:

Fish Creek and upper Clackamas River, incredibly beautiful places that I love to kayak The White River Wild and Scenic River - obviously a national treasure

50077-001

These places have already compromised old growth forests that should be protected, not destroyed. The pipeline should go around these areas. The governments job is to protect our environment, not get into bed with developers!

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Friday, January 11, 2008 5:27 PM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50078

Thank you for your comment, Candis Harbison.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50078. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 11, 2008 05:27:14PM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Draft Comment: WWECD50078

First Name: Candis Last Name: Harbison Address: 120 2nd Place City: Panama City State: FL Zip: 32401 Country: USA Email: candis1@comcast.net

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

It is imperative that you understand that wilderness and public parks are not temporarily set aside until an excuse arrives to use them for cheap and easy infrastructure placement. Wilderness and parks belong to the people FOREVER to be kept in that condition for the health and enjoyment of this and future generations.

50078-001

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov Saturday, January 12, 2008 8:54 AM Sent:

mail_corridoreisarchives To:

Subject: Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS Comment WWECD50079

Thank you for your comment, Linda Scott.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is WWECD50079. Once the comment response document has been published, please refer to the comment tracking number to locate the response.

Comment Date: January 12, 2008 08:53:41AM CDT

Energy Corridor Draft Programmatic EIS

Draft Comment: WWECD50079

First Name: Linda Middle Initial: L Last Name: Scott Organization: OKCC, OOPS Address: 4415 SW 94th Ave City: Portland State: OR Zip: 97225 Country: USA Email: apianositter@aol.com

Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

As a paddler, I treasure the few wild and scenic places we have left, for ourselves, and for the generatiions that follow us. Our track record has not been so great at preserving that legacy. I think in a few years, we will have found some of the needed alternatives to burning fossil fuels for energy but fear that by then all we'll have is the wasteland we have made of this planet. Lets not make this ugly corridor.

50079-001

Thanks, Linda Scott